# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 14-007381 Issue No.: 3001

Case No.:

Hearing Date: August 21, 2014

County: Macomb (20-Warren)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

#### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 21, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

### **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits case?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On February 4, 2014, the Department referred the above case to the OIG office to determine the income earned in Claimant's home.
- 2. On February 24, 2014, the OIG submitted a report to the Department showing that Claimant and the person that she lives with "keep their food together and they have their meals together as well."
- On June 13, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a notice of case action informing her that her FAP benefits case was to be closed.
- 4. On July 24, 2014, Claimant requested a hearing to protest the closure of her FAP benefits case.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

At the hearing, the OIG report was entered into the record as an exhibit. The report states that Claimant stated that she shares her food with her roommate and that they have their meals together.

Claimant testified that, although they occasionally share food and eat together, they generally do not share the preparation of food and generally do not eat together.

This testimony conflicts with the OIG report. The OIG agent who prepared the report did not attend the hearing.

Department policy states that, for two people to be considered in the same FAP group, they must customarily share food in common; i.e., they must each contribute to the purchase of such food, they must share in the preparation of such food and they must eat from the same food supply. BEM 212 (February 2014).

Claimant's testimony does not conform with the Department's definition of individuals who are considered part of the same FAP group; Claimant did not state that her household's food sharing was customary but rather she stated it was on occasion.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

	acted in accordance with Department policy when it .
$\boxtimes$	did not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP
	benefits case.
	failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department
	policy when it .

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

AC	Accordingly, the Department's decision is			
$\overline{\boxtimes}$	AFFIRMED. REVERSED. AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to .	and REVERSED IN PART with respect to		
	ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT	O BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS 'S OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS		
1.	Reinstate Claimant's FAP benefits bac	k to the closure of same and supplement for		

**Michael J. Bennane**Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 8/25/2014

any missed benefits.

Date Mailed: 8/26/2014

MJB / pf

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

