## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-007131 Issue No.: 3001 Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

August 19, 2014 ST. CLAIR

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

## **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, August 19, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and her husband, Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

### ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly close Claimant's case for Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant received FAP benefits.
- 2. On June 30, 2014, the Department closed Claimant's case due to excess income.
- 3. On June 30, 2014, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.
- On July 9, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) 4 filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, the Claimant was a recipient of FAP benefits. The Claimant's son, who was a college student, had previously been exempt and not counted as part of the household group because he was not employed working at least the 20 hours as required by Department policy. The Claimant's son got two jobs and was added back into the household group along with his earned income. Department Exhibit 7 and 16. The Claimant had the earned income and the earned income for a total household income of the earned income.

As a result of excess income, the Claimant's FAP case closed. After deductions from her household gross income of of a standard deduction and a earned income deduction for an adjusted gross income of . The Claimant was given a total shelter deduction of resulting from a housing expense of and heat and utility standard of . The Claimant was given an adjusted excess shelter , with a total shelter deduction of deduction of minus 50% of adjusted gross income of . The Claimant had a net income of which was the adjusted gross income o of the Claimant did not qualify with a household group size of 4 for a maximum in economic recovery minus 30% of net income of plus benefit of resulting in a net benefit amount of . The Claimant had excess income for FAP with a with a FAP income limit of net income of for a household group composition of 4. Department Exhibit 3-5. On June 30, 2014, the Department Caseworker sent the Claimant a notice that her FAP benefit case would close effective August 1, 2014. Department Exhibit that 17-19.

The Department has met its burden that the Claimant had excess income for FAP resulting in the closure of the FAP case. BEM 550, 554, 556, and 245.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed the Claimant case due to excess income.

# DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Carmon I. Sahie

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 8/29/2014

Date Mailed: 8/29/2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the Claimant;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

