STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 14-006418 1008

August 4, 2014 WAYNE-19 (INKSTER)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: |

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 4, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included and and Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included , FIM.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly close claimant's FIP benefits and decrease claimant's FAP benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was a FIP and FAP recipient in County
- 2. Claimant was assigned as a Work-Eligible Individual (WEI) to the PATH program.
- 3. Claimant was a disqualified member of the benefit group due to a fugitive felon disqualification.
- 4. PATH officials refused to admit claimant into the program because as a disqualified member, he was ineligible for the program under internal rules.
- 5. The Department has attempted to resolve the impasse with PATH officials, to no avail.

- 6. The Bridges system and the Central Office have required claimant to attend PATH as a condition of receiving benefits.
- 7. Claimant has at all times attempted to participate with PATH to the best of their ability.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260, MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

∑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Department admitted under oath that any error in this case was not a result of the claimant's actions, but rather, the impasse between PATH and the Michigan Works Agency (MWA), and DHS.

BEM 233A only declares a claimant noncompliant with work related activities if the claimant refuses to participate; in the current case, claimant is not refusing to participate, and has attempted to participate to the best of their ability.

Therefore, as the claimant is attempting to participate, and as any issues in the case are an internal DHS matter, the undersigned holds that the Department terminated the benefits in this matter erroneously; all benefits must be restored, regardless of the outcome of internal DHS politics.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

☐ did not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed claimant's FIP benefits and reduced claimant's FAP benefits.

Page 3 of 4 14-006418 RJC

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

 \boxtimes REVERSED.

- THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
- 1. Remove all negative actions taken against claimant's benefit case as a result of this matter.

RÓBERT J. CHAVEZ Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 8/15/2014

Date Mailed: 8/15/2014

RJC/tm

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

• Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;

- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CC:	