# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

INI	THE	M A	TTED	OF.
ш	INE	IVIA		UF.

Reg. No.: 14-006357 Issue No.: 3002

Case No.:

Hearing Date: July 31, 2014

County: Wayne (55-Hamtramck)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 31, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

# **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits case?

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On January 30, 2014, Claimant provided the Department with a completed semiannual redetermination.
- 2. On March 27, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a verification checklist and verification of employment forms requesting various pieces of information due by April 7, 2014.
- 3. On April 22, 2014, Claimant returned the completed verification of employment for her son,

4. On July 2, 2014, Claimant requested a hearing to protest the closure of her FAP benefits.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

At the hearing, the Department presented documentation of Claimant's son's income but Claimant provided said information late. BAM 130 (January 2014).

Although Claimant eventually provided the requested documentation/information, it was not provided in a timely manner. Policy directs the requested information must be submitted in a timely manner.

During the hearing, it was not clear to this Administrative Law Judge whether Claimant's failure to provide the requested documentation was caused by language difficulties or not. In any event, the Department should carefully weigh the necessity of supplying an interpreter for this Claimant in the future.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

$\boxtimes$	acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP benefits
	case.
	did not act in accordance with Department policy when it .
	failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department
	policy when it .

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

Department of Human Services

<ul><li>☐ AFFIRMED.</li><li>☐ REVERSED.</li></ul>	
☐ AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to	and REVERSED IN PART with respect to
	The bar
	Michael J. Bennane
	Administrative Law Judge
	for Maura Corrigan, Director

Date Signed: 8/6/2014

Date Mailed: 8/6/2014

MJB / pf

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

