STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	14-006200 3001 August 5, 2014 OAKLAND #3
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie		
HEARING DECISION		
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ISSUE		
——Due to excess income, did the Department properly ⊠ closed Claimant's benefits for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:		
Claimant ⊠ received: ⊠ FAP benefits.		
2. On June 17. 2014, the Department	closed Claiman	t's benefits due to

On June 17. 2014, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized

3.

excess income.

Representative (AR) its decision.

4. On June 30, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, the Claimant was a recipient of FAP benefits. On that was due to the Department on that was due to the Department on and countable unearned income of \$ and for a total income amount of \$ Department Exhibit 5-13.

As a result of excess income, the Claimant's FAP case closed. After deductions from his gross income of \$ of an earned income deduction of \$ and a \$ standard deduction, the Claimant had an adjusted gross income of \$ The Claimant was given a total shelter deduction of \$ resulting from a housing and heat and utility standard of \$ The Claimant was given an adjusted excess shelter deduction of \$ with a total shelter deduction of \$ minus 50% of adjusted gross income of \$ The Claimant had a net income of \$ minus the excess shelter deduction of which was the adjusted gross income of \$ the Claimant had excess income for FAP benefits. With a net income of \$ which had a net income limit of \$ Department Exhibit 14-15.

On June 16, 2014, the Department Caseworker sent the Claimant a notice that due to excess income that his FAP case was closed. Department Exhibit 17-22. During the hearing, the Claimant stated that the excess income was due to temporary overtime, but he failed to inform the Department Caseworker. As a result, the income was not counted as temporary overtime income, but as regular income. The Claimant case is closed, so that Claimant would have to reapply for FAP benefits.

The Department has met its burden that the Claimant had excess income for FAP resulting in the closure of his FAP case. BEM 550, 554, and 556.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed the Claimant's FAP case due to excess income.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED.

Carmen G. Fahie

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Carmon II. Sahie

Date Signed: 8/14/2014

Date Mailed: 8/15/2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/tb

