STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14 – 005827

Issue No.: <u>1012</u>

Case No.: Hearing Date:

August 19, 2014

County: Washtenaw County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, an in person hearing was held on August 19, 2014, from Ypsilanti, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and her with her authorized hearings representative Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included PATH Program Coordinator, and PATH Team Leader.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly propose to cancel Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) and sanction Claimant for failure to properly participate in the PATH program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant applied for FIP on January 27, 2014 and was approved for FIP with the temporary deferrals from the Michigan Works (MWA) participation.
- 2. On April 3, 2014, the Department issued a medical determination verification checklist, DHS 3503 to determine continued eligibility for a deferral from Michigan Works (MWA) participation, Exhibit 1.
- 3. On April 15, 2014, the Claimant did not return her MRT packet and stated that to complete the forms stating that she was disabled.

- 4. Claimant was informed that a referral to Michigan Works would be initiated, Exhibit 2.
- 5. On May 1, 2014, the Department issued a PATH appointment notice, DHS 47854 Claimant to attend Michigan Works on May 12, 2014, Exhibit 3.
- 6. On May 12, 2014, Claimant attended orientation at Michigan Works for four days from May 12, 2014 through May 15, 2014, Exhibit 4.
- 7. Claimant did not turn in her activity log to the career advisor.
- 8. Claimant did not turn in activity log which indicated that Claimant had done 30 hours of workshops and/job search from May 11, 2014 through May 17, 2014.
- 9. On May 23, 2014, Michigan Works placed the Claimant into noncompliance for the weeks of May 11, 2014 because she failed to turn in forms documenting her workshop/job search.
- 10. On May 30, 2014, Claimant was scheduled for a re-engagement meeting, Exhibit 4.
- 11. Claimant did not attend the re-engagement meeting. Michigan Works requested a triage, Exhibit 4.
- 12. On June 2, 2004, the Department received a triage requested and marked the FIP for closure due to noncompliance with employment related activities. Claimant was notified of the triage and impending action by a DHS 1605, notice of case action, Exhibit 5. The Claimant was notified of triage by a DHS 2444, notice of noncompliance, Exhibit 6.
- 13. On June 9, 2014, Claimant attended that scheduled triage with both DHS and MWA representatives in attendance.
- 14. Claimant provided a medical needs form, DHS-54 which was completed on June 6, 2014 indicated she was unable to work to August 4, 2014, Exhibit 7.
- 15. Claimant failed to provide medical documentation to excuse her from participating in MWA from the time for enrollment for the week of May 11, 2014.
- 16. At triage, no good cause was determined based on the medical verification provided by the Claimant. The FIP closure was allowed to proceed, Exhibit 8.
- 17. Claimant had two prior FIP sanctions, one from October 2, 2011 and one from February 13, 2013.
- 18. On June 2, 2014, the Department caseworker sent Claimant notice of case action.

- 19. On June 9, 2014, the FIP closure was allowed to proceed with the third/lifetime sanction review and confirm by the PATH Program Coordinator, Exhibit 9.
- 20. On June 24, 2014, Claimant filed a request for hearing to contest the Department's negative action. Benefits were not reinstated because this is not a timely hearing request.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

Pertinent Department policy states:

The Family Independence Program (FIP) is temporary cash assistance to support a family's movement to self-sufficiency. The recipients of FIP engage in employment and self-sufficiency related activities so they can become self-supporting. Federal and state laws require each work eligible individual (WEI) in the FIP group to participate in Partnership. Accountability. Training. Hope. (PATH) or other employment-related activity unless temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. These Claimants must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities to increase their employability and obtain employment. PATH is administered by the Workforce Development Agency, State of Michigan through the Michigan one-stop service centers. PATH serves employers and job seekers for employers to have skilled workers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. PATH case managers use the One-Stop Management Information System (OSMIS) to record the Claimants' assigned activities and participation.

WEIs not referred to PATH will participate in other activities to overcome barriers so they may eventually be referred to PATH or other employment service provider. DHS must monitor these activities and record the Claimant's participation in the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP).

A WEI who refuses, without good cause, to participate in assigned employment and/or other self-sufficiency related activities is subject to penalties. For more about penalties; see BEM 233A. See BEM 230B and BEM 233B for FAP employment requirements. BEM 230A, page 1.

Section 504 of the American Disability Act defines a disability as a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities; or a history of such an impairment; or being regarded as having such an impairment. Examples of major life activities include: thinking, learning, taking care of oneself, maintaining social relationships, sleeping, communicating, etc.

A number of FIP Claimants have disabilities or live with a spouse or child(ren) with disabilities that may need accommodations to participate in assigned activities. The needs of persons with disabilities are highly individual and must be considered on a case-by-case basis. DHS must make reasonable efforts to ensure that persons with disability-related needs or limitations will have an effective and meaningful opportunity to benefit from DHS programs and services to the same extent as persons without disabilities. Efforts to accommodate persons with disabilities may include modifications to program requirements, or extra help, as explained below. Failure to recognize and accommodate disabilities undermines efforts to assist families in achieving self-sufficiency.

When a Claimant requests reasonable accommodation in order to participate, DHS and the employment service providers will consider the need for applying the above requirements.

A disability as defined above that requires reasonable accommodation must be verified by an appropriate source, such as a doctor, psychologist, therapist, educator, etc. A Claimant may disclose a disability at any time. Failure to disclose at an earlier time does not prevent the Claimant from claiming a disability or requesting an accommodation in the future. BEM 230A, pages 2-3.

At intake, redetermination or anytime during an ongoing benefit period, when an individual claims to be disabled or indicates an inability to participate in work or PATH for more than 90 days because of a mental or physical condition, the Claimant should be deferred in Bridges. Conditions include medical problems such as mental or physical injury, illness, impairment or learning disabilities. This may include those who have applied for RSDI/SSI.

A person with a condition or impairment that is pregnancy-related must be deferred for a problem pregnancy. These individuals should **not** be referred to the Medical Review Team (MRT) or to an SSI Advocate if the **only** conditions or impairments are due to pregnancy: see Pregnancy Complications earlier in this item.

Determination of a long-term disability is a step process. The Claimant must fully cooperate with both steps.

Step One: Establishment of Disability

Once a Claimant claims a disability he/she must provide DHS with verification of the disability when requested. The verification must indicate that the disability will last

longer than 90 calendar days. If the verification is not returned, a disability is not established. The Claimant will be required to fully participate in PATH as a mandatory participant; see Verification Sources in this item.

Step Two: Defining the Disability

For verified disabilities over 90 days, the specialist must submit a completed medical packet and obtain a Medical Review Team (MRT) decision. The Claimant must provide DHS with the required documentation such as the DHS-49 series, medical and/or educational documentation needed to define the disability. If the Claimant does not provide the requested verifications, the FIP should be placed into closure for failure to provide needed documentation; see BAM 815, Medical Determination and Obtaining Medical Evidence.

A Work Eligible Individual (WEI) and non-WEIs (except ineligible grantees, Claimants deferred for lack of child care, and disqualified aliens), see BEM 228, who fails, without good cause, to participate in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities, must be penalized. Depending on the case situation, penalties include the following:

- Delay in eligibility at application.
- Ineligibility (denial or termination of FIP with no minimum penalty period).

Case closure for a minimum of three months for the first episode of noncompliance, six months for the second episode of noncompliance and lifetime closure for the third episode of noncompliance. BEM 233A, page1.

As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. Noncompliance of applicants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

- Failing or refusing to:
 - •• Appear and participate with PATH or other employment service provider.
 - •• Provide legitimate documentation of work participation.
 - Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities.
 - Participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities.
 - Participate in required activity.

- Accept a job referral.
- •• Complete a job application.
- Appear for a job interview (see the exception below).
- Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements. BEM 233A.

Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or selfsufficiency related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. Good cause includes:

The Claimant is physically or mentally unfit for the job or activity, as shown by medical evidence or other reliable information. This includes any disability-related limitations that preclude participation in a work and/or self-sufficiency-related activity. The disability-related needs or limitations may not have been identified or assessed prior to the noncompliance.

The penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP EDG closure. Effective October 1, 2011, the following minimum penalties apply:

- For the individual's first occurrence of noncompliance, Bridges closes the FIP EDG for not less than three calendar months.
- For the individual's second occurrence of noncompliance, Bridges closes the FIP EDG for not less than six calendar months.
- For the individual's third occurrence of noncompliance, Bridges closes the FIP EDG for a lifetime sanction.

The individual penalty counter begins April 1, 2007. Individual penalties served after October 1, 2011 will be added to the individual's existing penalty count. BEM 233A.

PATH participants will not be terminated from PATH without first scheduling a triage meeting with the Claimant to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. Locally coordinate a process to notify PATH case manager of triage day schedule, including scheduling guidelines.

Note: Do not schedule a triage for instances of noncompliance while the FIP application is pending.

Claimants can either attend a meeting or participate in a conference call if attendance at the triage meeting is not possible. If a Claimant calls to

reschedule an already scheduled triage meeting, offer a phone conference at that time. If the Claimant requests to have an in-person triage, reschedule for one additional triage appointment. Claimants must comply with triage requirements and provide good cause verification within the negative action period.

Determine good cause based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or PATH. **Good cause must be considered even if the Claimant does not attend**, with particular attention to possible disabilities (including disabilities that have not been diagnosed or identified by the Claimant) and unmet needs for accommodation.

If the specialist or PATH case manager does not agree as to whether good cause exists for a noncompliance, the case must be forwarded to the immediate supervisors of each party involved to reach an agreement. The DHS supervisor makes the final determination of good cause.

DHS must be involved with all triage appointment/phone calls due to program requirements, documentation and tracking.

Note: Claimants not under the supervision of PATH, but rather under the Department's supervision, must be scheduled for a triage meeting between the specialist and the Claimant. This does not include applicants.

Follow the procedures outlined below for processing the FIP closure:

- On the night that the one-stop service center case manager places the participant into triage activity, OSMIS will interface to Bridges a noncooperation notice. Bridges will generate a triage appointment at the local office as well as generating the DHS-2444, Notice of Employment and/or Self-Sufficiency Related Noncompliance, which is sent to the Claimant. The following information will be populated on the DHS-2444:
 - •• The name of the noncompliant individual
 - •• The date of the initial noncompliance. (For individuals being served by PATH, this is the date the Claimant was considered to be noncompliant by the one-stop service center and placed into the triage activity in OSMIS.)
 - •• All the dates, if addressing more than one incident of noncompliance.

- The reason the Claimant was determined to be noncompliant.
- The penalty that will be imposed.
- •• The scheduled triage appointment, to be held within the negative action period.
- Determine good cause during triage and prior to the negative action effective date. Good cause must be verified and provided prior to the end of the negative action period and can be based on information already on file with the DHS or PATH. Document the good cause determination on the Noncooperation Detail Screen within 24 hours of determination.

Department policy specifically dictates:


Prior to certifying a case closure for a lifetime sanction, the case and noncooperation history must be reviewed to determine if the lifetime sanction is an appropriate closure. The review team consists of the FIM and PATH coordinator or the Program Manager and FIM. The reviewers need to review the following:

- The FAST and FSSP to determine if any identified barriers were not addressed.
- The One-Stop Management Information System (OSMIS) case notes and activities that correspond to Bridges sanction history.
- Case notes in the case file and on Bridges.
- Triage results are consistent with corresponding Claimant statements or possible documentations of good cause.

The penalty counter and all noncooperation records in Bridges must also be reviewed for accuracy, confirming that the lifetime sanction is at the appropriate count.

Document in the case file and on the final noncooperation record in Bridges that the lifetime sanction final review was completed. Include in the comments the participants of the final review. This review must be completed within five business days from the date the triage was held. BEM 233A, page 12. (Emphasis Added)

Claimant testified on the record that she provided a medical needs form to the caseworker along with a letter from her doctor. The letter from Claimant's doctor, dated June 6, 2014 indicates that Claimant was seen in the clinic on June 6, 2014. She was first unable to work on May 19, 2014 and she should be able to resume duties on



This Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant was well aware that she was scheduled for job searching community service participation activities. The Department has established that Claimant had two prior sanctions of her FIP benefits in the Bridges system which means that she was well aware that a third violation could result in a lifetime sanction. Claimant was able to articulate herself clearly at the hearing even though she did suffer from a stutter.

There is insufficient objective medical/psychiatric evidence contained in the file of depression or a cognitive dysfunction that is so severe that it would prevent Claimant from working at any job or participating in job related activities. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the objective medical evidence on the record does not establish that Claimant has no ability to attend MWA activities. No place in the documentation provided by Claimant does it indicate that Claimant is disabled for the week of which is the relevant time period upon which the Department relied for imposing the FIP sanction. Claimant was able to attend orientation. Claimant, at all times relevant to this case, remained able to attend PATH related activities. No determination of disability was ever made on Claimant's case because she did not turn in sufficient information to her caseworker so that a determination could be made by the MRT. Claimant did not return her MRT packet and stated to her caseworker that the doctor refused to complete the form stating that she was disabled. The medical forms were due by

This Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined that Claimant failed to provide requested information in a timely manner and when it proposed to cancel Claimant's FIP benefits based upon the fact that Claimant failed to comply with MWA and PATH participation requirements. However, the Department did not act in compliance with Department policy when it reviewed the third/lifetime sanction.

The evidence on the record indicates that the PATH Program Coordinator reviewed the third/lifetime sanction on Example 2. Exhibit 9. There is insufficient evidence contained in the record to establish that the lifetime sanction was reviewed by a Family Independence Manager (FIM) in conjunction with the Program Coordinator, or was reviewed by a Program Manager and a FIM. This step in the process is strictly required by Department policy in order to impose a third/lifetime sanction for FIP benefits and must be strictly enforced.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department has not established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it was acting in compliance with Department policy when it proposed to cancel Claimant's FIP benefits and to impose the third/lifetime sanction for Claimant's failure to comply with MWA and PATH participation requirements.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED. The Department is ordered to reinstate Claimant's FIP benefits to the date of closure and pay to Claimant any benefits to which she is entitled. The Department is also ordered to return Claimant to the PATH program so that she may participate in MWA and PATH related required activities

Landis Y. Lain Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Kandis Y Lain

Date Signed: 8/25/14

Date Mailed: 8/25/14

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the Claimant;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the Claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LYL/tb

