#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

14-005728 3002

July 31, 2014 WASHTENAW

### **ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie**

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on Thursday, July 31, 2014 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included . FIM.

### ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly  $\boxtimes$  reduce Claimant's benefits for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant  $\boxtimes$  received:  $\boxtimes$  FAP benefits.
- 2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by June 19, 2014.
- On June 19, 2014, the Department  $\boxtimes$  reduced Claimant's benefits. 3.
- 4. On June 19, 2014, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of its action.
- 5. On June 26, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's action.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

∑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, the Claimant was a recipient of FAP. On **Caseworker**, the Department Caseworker sent the Claimant a Verification Checklist for written verification of that was due **Caseworker**. Department Exhibit 6-7. The Claimant failed to provide the required verification of his employment stoppage from Big Salad to the Department so that the could be deleted from the FAP budget. As a result, the employment income was still being budgeted, which resulted in a decrease in FAP benefits. Department Exhibit 14-16. BEM 500. BAM 130 and 220.

The Department met their burden that the Claimant's FAP benefits should be decreased because the employment stoppage verification was not received and the employment income was required to be counted in determining FAP benefits.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any finds that the Department  $\boxtimes$  acted in accordance with Department policy when it still counted the employment income because the Claimant failed to provide verification of employment stoppage.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is  $\square$  AFFIRMED.

Carmon I. Salvie

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 8/5/2014

Date Mailed: 8/5/2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the Claimant;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/tb

cc:	