### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-005702 Issue No.: 3001 Case No.: July 30, 2014 Hearing Date: County: INGHAM

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

#### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, July 30, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on of behalf Department of the Human Services (Department) included . ES.

#### ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly  $\boxtimes$  reduce Claimant's benefits for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact;

- Claimant 🛛 received: 🖾 FAP benefits. 1.
- On June 5, 2014, the Department  $\boxtimes$  reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess 2. income.
- 3. On June 5, 2014, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.

4. On June 20, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, the Claimant was a recipient of FAP benefits with a redetermination due. The Claimant submitted her redetermination application on May 28, 2014. The Claimant's was receiving that would stop the month that he turned of age. Her and was planning on leaving In addition, the Claimant was

The FAP budget provided by the Department is not correct and will need to be rerun for Department Exhibit 15-17. The Claimant's son was still in the home and had not left for household member of the group since he is the Claimant's and is not . Therefore, his would still have to be budgeted for FAP until he no longer receives the income because he turned or he is no longer in the household.

As a result of excess income, the Department has not met its burden that the Claimant had excess income for FAP resulting in a decrease in FAP benefits from **\$100** to **\$100** BEM 213 and 2010.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department  $\Box$  failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it ran a FAP budget that did not include the Claimant's son nor his SSI income.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is  $\square$  REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate a redetermination of the Claimant's eligibility for FAP for for forward by running a new budget based on the Claimant's son being part of the household group and his SSI benefits when received based on policy.
- 2. Provide the Claimant with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.
- 3. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she/he may be eligible to receive, if any.

Carmon I. Sahie

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 8/8/2014

Date Mailed: 8/8/2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the Claimant;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/tb