

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 14-005699
Issue No.: 3001
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: July 31, 2014
County: Lapeer

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 31, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED].

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits due to excess income?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant was an ongoing Food Assistance Program (FAP) recipient.
2. On March 11, 2014, the Claimant reported an increase of earned income.
3. The Claimant's husband receives monthly earned income in the gross monthly amount of \$ [REDACTED].
4. On June 19, 2014, the Department notified the Claimant that her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits would close as of July 1, 2014.
5. On June 24, 2014, the Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing protesting the closure of her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

All earned and unearned income available to the Claimant is countable. Earned income means income received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties that were performed for compensation or profit. Unearned income means all income that is not earned, including but not limited to funds received from the Family Independence Program (FIP), State Disability Assistance (SDA), Child Development and Care (CDC), Medicaid (MA), Social Security Benefits (RSDI/SSI), Veterans Administration (VA), Unemployment Compensation Benefits (UCB), Adult Medical Program (AMA), alimony, and child support payments. The amount counted may be more than the client actually receives because the gross amount is used prior to any deductions. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 500 (July 1, 2013).

All income is converted to a standard monthly amount. If the client is paid weekly, the Department multiplies the average weekly amount by 4.3. If the client is paid every other week, the Department multiplies the average bi-weekly amount by 2.15. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 505 (July 1, 2013), pp 6-7.

The Claimant was an ongoing Food Assistance Program (FAP) recipient as a group of four when she notified the Department on March 11, 2014, that her husband would be receiving additional earned income.

The Department determined the Claimant's prospective income from the three paycheck stubs supplied by the Claimant that were dated March 7, 2014, March 14, 2014, and March 28, 2014, and the Department determined the Claimant received \$ [REDACTED] of income over the previous 30 days by using the year-to-date income reported on the paycheck stubs to fill in for March 21, 2014.

The Department determined that the Claimant has a prospective income of \$ [REDACTED] by multiplying her average weekly income by the 4.3 conversion factor. The Claimant's adjusted gross income of \$ [REDACTED] was determined by subtracting the \$ [REDACTED] standard deduction and the Claimant's \$ [REDACTED] child support expense from her total income. The Claimant's excess shelter deduction of \$ [REDACTED] was determined by adding her monthly \$ [REDACTED] shelter expense to the standard \$ [REDACTED] heat and utility expense deduction and subtracting 50% of her adjusted gross income.

The Department determined that the Claimant has a monthly net income of \$ [REDACTED] by subtracting her excess shelter deduction from her adjusted gross income. A Food Assistance Program (FAP) group of four with a net income of \$ [REDACTED] is not eligible to receive any benefits due to excess income. Department of Human Services Reference Table Manual (RFT) 260 (December 1, 2013).

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined that the Claimant is not eligible for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits due to excess income.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.



Kevin Scully
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: **8/5/2014**

Date Mailed: **8/5/2014**

KS/las

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;

- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

cc:

