STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-005540 2001

Issue No.: Case No.:

August 7, 2014

Hearing Date: County: ISABELLA

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 7, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included . and

ISSUE

Did the Department properly process the Claimant application for Medical Assistance (MA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was employed in February 2009.
- 2. The Claimant was approved for Social Security RSDI effective January 1, 2009, based on a disability onset date of June 29, 2008, where she received benefits. Department Exhibit 6-8.
- 3. In April 2009, the Claimant applied for MA and was approved; but the Department caseworker stated that she was initially put in the wrong classification where she should have been freedom to work (FTW) not Group 2 MA.

4. On April 25, 2014, the Claimant was sent a notice stating that she was not eligible for Group 2 MA because she was not disabled, but eligible for a MA deductible. However, the Claimant has been determined disabled because she is receiving RSDI. Department Exhibit 10-14.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

∑ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Additionally, the Claimant from the earliest records submitted by the Department starting on June 1, 2009, showing that she was a MA deductible until December 31, 2010, when she was changed to FTW on January 1, 2011. Department Exhibit 24-33. During the Claimant's redetermination on March 28, 2014, the Claimant's case was changed to a spend-down where she must meet her deductible of

According to the Department, the Claimant should not have been put in the category of a group 2 MA spend-down, but should have been put in FTW because she was employed. As a result, the Claimant was switched from FTW again and given a group 2 MA spend-down.

This Administrative Law Judge's review of the record finds where the Claimant was disabled, eligible for MA, and should not have accessed MA through a deductible. The Claimant should have been eligible for FTW from the start of her MA eligibility. She reported to the Department that she was employed and should have been eligible for FTW from the start.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it determined that the Claimant was not eligible for FTW when she was disabled, employed, and has not accessed and MA through a deductible until the Department opened her case in error as a group 2 MA.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate a redetermination of the Claimant's eligibility for MA by reviewing the records of her employment and her MA status for FTW compared to Group 2 MA.
- 2. Provide the Claimant with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.
- 3. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she/he may be eligible to receive, if any.

Carmen G. Fahie
Administrative Law Judge

Carmon II. Salvie

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 8/28/2014

Date Mailed: 8/28/2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the Claimant;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/tb

