STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-005271

Issue No.: 2001

Case No.:
Hearing Date: August 27, 2014

County: Oakland-District 4 (N Saginaw)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Darryl T. Johnson

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 27, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant's mother and guardian, Claimant was present but did not participate. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Assistance Payments Worker

ISSUE

Did the Department properly determine Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) deductible?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing MA recipient.
- 2. Claimant had received benefits through the Medicare Savings Program; but effective July 1, 2014, she was placed into Medicaid with a monthly deductible.
- 3. Claimant receives Retirement, Survivors, and Disability Income (RSDI) of per month.
- 4. Claimant requested a hearing on June 12, 2014.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

When the Department calculates eligibility for medical assistance it takes into account, among many other factors, the earned and unearned income the Claimant receives.

It is not within the scope of the Administrative Law Judge's authority to create new guidelines, eligibility criteria, or deductibles that the Department is to use. The issues that can be decided are whether the Department followed policy with respect to each program, based upon the existing rules, laws, policies, etc.

Exhibit 1, Page 14, provides the budget used by the Department in calculating Claimant's monthly MA deductible for July 1, 2014. It accounts for her unearned income of the provided income, which is reduced by an adjustment to limit per RFT 240 for a group of one in Shelter Area VI is that from her countable income, her deductible is

Claimant's mother did not dispute the amounts used by the Department in her budget. Her issue is with the determination that she must pay a deductible of each month toward her medical expenses. The issue before this Administrative Law Judge is not whether she can afford this deductible; it is to adjudicate whether the deductible was properly calculated beginning July 1, 2014. There is no evidence that the Department erred in its calculation of Claimant's MA deductible after taking into account her monthly income and expenses.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it calculated Claimant's MA deductible.

¹ Per RFT 200, Oakland County is in Shelter Area VI, which provides the highest Protected Income Limit in RFT 240.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 8/29/2014

Date Mailed: 8/29/2014

DTJ / jaf

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

