STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-002388 Issue No.: 2001, 2004

Case No.: Hearing Date:

August 4, 2014

County: WAYNE- 18 (TAYLOR)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a 3-way telephone hearing was held on August 4, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Assistance Payments Supervisor, and Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly comply with a Decision and Order of Judge Christian Gardocki?

Did the Department process the Claimant's Application dated June 28, 2012, with retro coverage from March 2012?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant filed an application for medical assistance dated June 28, 2012, with retro coverage from March 2012.

 A Decision and Order, dated July 10, 2013, was issued by ALJ Christian Gardocki, ordering the Department to reinstate the Claimant's Application for June 28, 2012 and retro application (March 2012), and initiate processing of the application. The Claimant was found disabled by SSA as of June 29, 2010 for RSDI. Exhibits 2 and 4.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Additionally, in this case the Department conceded at the hearing that it did not comply with the Decision and Order of Judge Gardocki, as the case was reinstated and not processed, and as of the hearing, no help desk ticket had been sought. No explanation was given for this failure to act. BAM 600 requires that the Department process a Decision and Order and certify action taken within 10 days, no evidence that BAM 600 was complied with was presented. The Claimant was approved for RSDI effective June 29, 2010. Exhibit 4

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

id did not act in accordance with Department policy when it failed to comply with the Decision and Order issued with respect to this case and complete its actions within 10 days, and failed to seek a help desk ticket.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

REVERSED.

- ☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
- 1. The Department shall re-register and reinstate the Claimant's application dated June 28, 2012 and retro application for March 2012, if not already done.
- 2. The Department shall process the application and determine Claimant's eligibility for Medical Assistance.
- 3. The Department shall immediately seek a help desk ticket so that the application may be processed and eligibility determined.
- 4. The Department shall advise the Claimant and Claimant's AHR in writing of its determination.

LYNN M. FERRIS

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 8/8/2014

Date Mailed: 8/08/2014

LMF/tm

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

