

5. On April 18, 2014, an Order of Partial Summary Disposition was issued indicating the Department was to activate SDA coverage according to the SHRT decision. The Order further indicated a hearing regarding MA benefits would still be held.
6. Claimant is 50 years old.
7. Claimant completed education through a GED and some community college courses.
8. Claimant has employment experience (last worked 2011) as a cook which required her to stand/walk the majority of the shift, limited sitting and lift 15-50 pounds. She also worked as a janitor, which required her to stand/walk the majority of the shift, limited sitting and lift 15 pounds.
9. Claimant's limitations have lasted for 12 months or more.
10. Claimant suffers from a broken right leg resulting in pins and plates in right ankle, post-traumatic stress disorder and bipolar disorder alleged at hearing.
11. Claimant has significant limitations on physical activities involving sitting, standing, walking, bending, lifting, and stooping.
12. Claimant has significant limitations on understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions; use of judgment; responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and dealing with changes in a routine work setting.
13. On July 23, 2014, SHRT found Claimant disabled as of September 2013.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Additional medical records were received and submitted to the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) for review. On July 23, 2014, SHRT found Claimant was disabled as of September 2013. Claimant was found disabled by SHRT based upon Claimant's


application dated November 13, 2013, for MA. Claimant had not requested retro MA according to the records presented.

The Department has reversed the previous decision and will process the above application based upon the SHRT approval. The Department is required to initiate a determination of Claimant's financial eligibility for the requested benefits, if not previously done, beginning September 2013.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that Claimant is medically disabled under the MA program as of September 2013.

Accordingly, the Department is ORDERED to initiate a review of the application dated November 13, 2013, if not done previously, to determine Claimant's non-medical eligibility. The Department shall inform Claimant of the determination in writing. A medical review shall be conducted in accordance with the SHRT determination by September 2015.



Jonathan W. Owens
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 30, 2014

Date Mailed: July 30, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides or has its principal place of business in the State, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

2014-22932/JWO

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JWO/pf

cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]