STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-004153 Issue No.: 3002 Case No .: Hearing Date: County:

July 10, 2014 MACOMB-50-12

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: ROBERT J. CHAVEZ

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 10, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Hearings Facilitator.

ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly deny Claimant's application k close Claimant's case reduce Claimant's benefits for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)? (CDC)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care

Medical Assistance (MA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- Claimant | applied for |X received: 1. FIP XFAP MA SDA CDC benefits.
- 2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by April 7, 2014.

- On April 1, 2014, the Department
 ☐ denied Claimant's application.
 ☑ closed Claimant's case.
 ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
- 4. On April 14, 2014, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of its action.
- 5. On June 4, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, the Department may request verification for eligibility factors that are unclear or questionable. Failure to respond to legitimate requests for verifications can result in case closure. BAM 130.

Claimant testified that he returned all requested verifications on April 7, 2014. The Department testified that no documents were in the file that had been received on that date.

However, upon checking the drop-off logs for April 7, 2014, the Department confirmed that claimant had been in the building that day, and that the logs in question noted that return of verifications were the reason claimant had been in the building.

Therefore, the undersigned finds claimant's testimony credible, and holds that the claimant did return requested verifications before the deadline in question, and that the Department thus closed claimant's FAP benefits incorrectly.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any finds that the Department

☐ did not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed claimant's FAP benefits when it had received all requested verifications.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

 \boxtimes REVERSED.

- THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
- 1. Reopen claimant's FAP benefits retroactive to the date of negative action, and issue any supplemental benefits to which the claimant is otherwise entitled.

ROBERT J. CHAVEZ Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 7/22/2014

Date Mailed: 7/22/2014

RJC/tm

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;

- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

