STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-004134

Issue No.: 3001

Case No.:

Hearing Date: July 9, 2014 County: WAYNE (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jacquelyn A. McClinton

HEARING DECISION

ISSUE

Did the Department properly reduce Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits based on updated information submitted by Claimant?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant is an ongoing recipient of FAP benefits.
- 2. In May 2014, Claimant completed and returned a Redetermination in which she noted that both her RSDI income and her rent had increased but did not indicate that she had any medical expenses.
- 3. The Department recalculated Claimant's FAP benefits and on May 31, 2014, it sent her a Notice of Case Action notifying her that her FAP benefits would decrease to \$124.00 per month.
- 4. On June 5, 2014, Claimant filed a Request for Hearing disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

In this case, Claimant requested a hearing because she believed that her monthly FAP benefit amount was not sufficient to meet her financial obligations. Prior to June 1, 2014, Claimant was receiving a medical deduction in the amount of \$176.00. Also prior to June 1, 2014, the Department had calculated Claimant's RSDI at \$1,179.00 per month. Based upon Claimant's unearned income and the medical deduction, Claimant received \$189.00 in FAP benefits. In May 2014, Claimant returned a completed Redetermination in which she noted that she received \$1,196.00 in RSDI income and that her rent had increased from \$750.00 to \$800.00. Claimant did not list any medical expenses on the Redetermination. Accordingly, the Department recalculated the FAP benefits and determined that Claimant was eligible to receive \$124.00 monthly.

The Department presented two FAP net income budgets. The first budget included Claimant's RSDI income of \$1,179.00, a medical deduction of \$176.00, and a rent expense of \$750.00. The second budget included Claimant's current RSDI income of \$1,196.00, a rent expense of \$800.00, and no medical deduction. Claimant confirmed that she has a group size of one. Based on Claimant's circumstances, she was eligible to receive the following deductions under Department policy:

- a standard deduction of \$151 based a one-person group size (RFT 255 (December 2013), p. 1; BEM 556, (July 2013) p. 3;
- an excess shelter deduction of \$831.00 which is based on monthly shelter expenses of \$800.00 and the \$553.00 heat and utility standard deduction.

Claimant indicated that she was disabled and confirmed that she did not have any child support or day care expenses. After all the allowable deductions were taken, the Department properly determined that Claimant's net income was \$214.00. Accordingly, based on the net income of \$214.00 and a FAP group size of one, Claimant was eligible to receive FAP benefits in the amount of \$124.00 per month. BEM 556; RFT 260 (December 2013), p. 14.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it reduced Claimant's FAP benefits from \$189.00 to \$124.00 per month.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Jacquelyn A. McClinton Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 7/18/2014

Date Mailed: 7/18/2014

JAM/cl

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

