### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 14-003712

 Issue No.:
 3008

 Case No.:
 July 30, 2014

 Hearing Date:
 July 30, 2014

 County:
 Kent-District 1 (Franklin)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Darryl T. Johnson

# HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 30, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and the term of the department of Human Services (Department) included Hearings Facilitator the Department of Human Payments Supervisor the term of the department of Human Services (Department) included Hearings Facilitator term of Human Services.

#### **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly reduce Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an on-going FAP recipient.
- 2. On May 13, 2014, the Department updated Claimant's utility expenses incorporated into her FAP budget.
- 3. Because her Excess Shelter Deduction was reduced from the (Exhibit 1 Page 10) to the (Exhibit 1 Page 13) her FAP was reduced from the per month beginning June 1, 2014, and a Notice of Case Action (NCA) was mailed to Claimant on May 13, 2014.
- 4. On May 29, 2014, the Department received Claimant's hearing request.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

When the Department calculates an FAP budget it takes into account, among many other factors, the earned and unearned income the Claimant receives, and costs of housing-related expenses. Exhibit 1, Page 6 is the NCA dated May 13, 2014, reflecting her "unearned income" of the factor, housing costs of the factor, non-heat electric standard of the factor, and telephone standard of the factor. Exhibit 1, Page 11 reflects the prior standard heat and utility standard of the factor, which had been used in Claimant's budget; and Exhibit 1 Page 14 reflects the updated budget that was changed effective May 1, 2014, when BEM 554 was modified. See BEM 554 (5/1/14) p 15.

The Claimant did not dispute the amounts used by the Department in her budget. There is no evidence that the Department erred in its calculation of Claimant's FAP benefits after taking into account her monthly unearned income and expenses.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it decreased Claimant's Food Assistance Program benefits.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Darryl T. Johnson Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 8/1/2014

Date Mailed: 8/1/2014

DTJ / jaf

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

