

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 14-001371  
Issue No.: 2004  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: JUNE 4, 2014  
County: WAYNE-DISTRICT 15

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin**

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 4, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included [REDACTED], hearing representative with [REDACTED]; Claimant's authorized hearing representative (AHR). Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED].

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly activate Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) coverage for October 2012 in accordance with the September 12, 2013 Settlement Order?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On September 12, 2013, Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Sue Burke entered a Settlement Order requiring the Department to process Claimant's medical expense for October 2012 and apply allowed expenses to Claimant's MA deductible.
2. On April 25, 2014, Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request alleging that the Department had failed to process the ALJ's decision.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual

(BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Claimant's AHR requested a hearing because it alleged that the Department had failed, in accordance with the September 12, 2013 ALJ Settlement Order, to process Claimant's submitted medical expenses for October 2012 and apply allowed expenses to her MA deductible. Both parties agreed that Claimant's MA deductible was less than the medical expense at issue. At the hearing, the Department contended that it had applied the \$3381.34 medical bill to Claimant's MA case and activated coverage. While the Department's evidence established that it had begun processing Claimant's medical bill, the Department did not satisfy its burden of showing that it had activated Claimant's MA coverage for October 2012.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it processed Claimant's October 2012 medical expenses pursuant to the September 12, 2013 Settlement Order.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Activate Claimant's MA coverage for October 2012; and

2. Prepare and submit a MSA-1038, Request for Exception to the Twelve Month Billing Limitation for Medical Services.



---

**Alice C. Elkin**

Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: **6/19/2014**

Date Mailed: **6/20/2014**

ACE / ttf

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

cc:

