# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-11749

Issue No(s).: 2007

Case No.:
Hearing Date:
County:

June 2, 2014
Macomb (50-20)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

## **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 2, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

# **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly calculate Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) deductible?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On October 1, 2013, the Department conducted a redetermination of Claimant's MA and Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.
- 2. On October 22, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a notice of case action informing her that her MA benefits would now include a deductible of \$283.00.
- 3. On January 15, 2014, Claimant requested a hearing to protest the addition of a deductible.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

At the hearing, Claimant complained that the Department had not applied all of the medical expenses she had turned in to her deductible. The Department budget provided in the hearing packet included \$983.40 per month under the heading "Insurance Premiums."

Both Claimant and the Department accept that the actual deduction for Claimant's insurance premiums should be \$104.90 per month.

The Department's hearing summary states that the amount shown in the MA deductible budget was based on part B insurance premiums of \$104.90 and out-of-pocket costs of \$822.50.

The out-of-pocket costs reflected in the above statement should not have appeared in the MA deductible budget provided by the Department. The document presented by the Department should have used only the insurance premiums and other deductions provided for in the deductible budget to calculate Claimant's actual deductible.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

	acted in accordance with Department policy when it .
$\boxtimes$	did not act in accordance with Department policy when it failed to properly calculate
	Claimant's MA deductible.
	failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department
	policy when it .

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

AFFIRMED.	
🔀 REVERSED.	
AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to	and REVERSED IN PART with respect
to .	

- THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
- Recalculate Claimant's MA deductible and include an accounting of the allocation of Claimant's medical expenses already provided the Department.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 9, 2014

Date Mailed: June 9, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides or has its principal place of business in the State, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights
  of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/pf

CC: