STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 14-003502 Issue No.: 3002

Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 26, 2014 County: WAYNE (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jacquelyn A. McClinton

HEARING DECISION

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) case for failure to verify requested information?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing FAP recipient.
- 2. On May 2, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a Verification Checklist (VCL) requesting that she provide missing pay stubs by May 12, 2014.
- 3. The Department's records indicated that it received some, but not all, of Claimant's missing pay stubs.
- 4. On May 14, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action notifying her that her FAP case would close effective June 1, 2014 for failure to verify requested information.

5. On May 22, Claimant filed a Request for Hearing disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Additionally, verifications are usually required when information regarding an eligibility factor is unclear, inconsistent, incomplete or contradictory. BAM 130 (April 2014), p. 1. In this case, the Department determined that there were missing pay stubs in Claimant's file. As such, the Department sent Claimant a VCL on May 2, 2014 requesting that she provide missing pay stubs on or before May 12, 2014.

Claimant acknowledged receipt of the VCL requesting her missing pay stubs. Claimant testified that she mailed pay stubs for March 14, 2014, March 28, 2014, April 11, 2014, April 25, 2014 and May 9, 2014. Claimant indicated that she spoke to her worker who informed her that the pay stubs for April 11, 2014 and April 25, 2014 were not received. Claimant stated that she immediately faxed all of the pay stubs that she previous sent by mail. Although Claimant did not have the confirmation form from the facsimile, she testified that she received confirmation that the documents had been received by the Department. Further, the VCL did not indicate which paystubs Claimant was required to return. The VCL merely instructed Claimant to return "missing pay stubs."

Because Claimant credibly testified that she returned her missing pay stubs on or before the due date, the Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with policy when it closed Claimant's FAP case effective June 1, 2014 for failure to verify requested information.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reinstate Claimant's FAP benefits effective June 1, 2014; and

2. Issue supplements to Claimant for any FAP benefits she was eligible to receive but did not from June 1, 2014, ongoing.

Jacquelyn A. McClinton
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 7/3/2014

Date Mailed: 7/3/2014

JAM/cl

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

