STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	14-003153 3008 June 23, 2014 MACOMB-50-20 (WARREN)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: ROBERT J. CHAVEZ				
HEARING DECISION				
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telelphone hearing was held on June 23, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Hearings Facilitator.				
ISSUE				
Due to excess income, did the Department properly \square deny the Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case \boxtimes reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
Claimant ☐ applied for ☐ received:				

SDA CDC

☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA

benefits.

2.	On June 1, 2014, the Depar	rtment 🔲 denied Claimant's applicatior
	closed Claimant's case	reduced Claimant's benefits
	due to excess income.	

- 3. On April 24, 2014, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.
- 4. On May 12, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, when determining eligibility for FAP benefits, the household's total income must be evaluated. All earned and unearned income of each household member must be included unless specifically excluded. BEM, Item 500.

In the current case, the Department failed to show that claimant's child support amounts were properly included.

Per policy found at BEM 505, pg. 3, the Department is to use the average of the past three months of child support when prospecting future income for FAP budgets, unless the amounts are unusual and not expected to continue. The Department is prohibited from using child support amounts that are not expected to continue when calculating an FAP budget. The Department is to discuss with the claimant when unusual or varied amounts appear in the claimant's payment history.

The FAP budget, Department Exhibit 5 shows that the Department used an unearned income amount, that includes child support, of . However, after a thorough examination of claimant's child support amounts from Department Exhibit 4, the claimant had an extremely high child support payment in March, far outside the average of the previous or future months; it appears that the Department used this amount when calculating claimant's FAP budget.

Claimant testified that this amount was unusual and was a onetime arrears payment; the Department did not contest this testimony and in fact agreed that this was most likely the case.

Therefore, as such one-time payments are prohibited from being used for income calculations, the Department was in error when it used this number and incorrectly calculated claimant's FAP budget .

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

did not act in accordance with Department policy when it used a one time arrears payment in its calculations for claimant's prospective child support income.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

- \boxtimes REVERSED.
- ☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
- 1. Recalculate claimant's FAP budget retroactive to June 1, 2014, and remove the March, 2014 child support payments from average budget calculations.

ROBERT J. CHAVEZ

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 6/27/2014

Date Mailed: 6/27/2014

RJC/tm

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

