STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 14-002303 Issue No.: 1008

Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 12, 2014

County: Wayne (19-Inkster)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 12, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On April 15, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a notice of noncompliance and scheduled a triage appointment for April 22, 2014.
- 2. On April 22, 2014, Claimant attended the triage meeting and the Department found no good cause.
- 3. On June 11, 2014, Claimant requested a hearing to protest the closure of her FIP benefits case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260, MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

At the hearing, the Department testified that it found no good cause at the triage because BEM 233A "stipulates the good cause can be granted for care of a disabled child or legal spouse only."

The actual section of 233A reads:

The client has a debilitating illness or injury, or a spouse or child's illness or injury requires in-home care by the client. BEM 233A (July 2013).

The section of policy quoted by the Department also lists as a reason for good cause:

Unplanned Event or Factor

Credible information indicates an unplanned event or factor which likely prevents or significantly interferes with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. Unplanned events or factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Domestic violence.
- Health or safety risk.
- Religion.
- Homelessness.
- Jail.
- Hospitalization

BEM 233A (July 2013).

Claimant went on to testify that her father had become extremely ill and that her presence at the hospital was necessary. Claimant further testified that she called and

left a message with Work First to explain her absence. Claimant also testified that while she was with her ill father, she completed and turned in the Outside Job Search Contact Log. Copies of these logs were provided as evidence at the hearing.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant's presence with her ill father was an unplanned event or factor and that she was absent for good cause.

	3
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the Law, and for the reasons stated on the reco	e above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of rd, if any, finds that the Department
_ benefits case and sanctioned her for six	ent policy when it closed the Claimant's FIP
DECISION AND ORDER	
Accordingly, the Department's decision is	
☐ AFFIRMED.☒ REVERSED.☐ AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to.	and REVERSED IN PART with respect to
ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT	O BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS YS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS
	ock to the closure date of April 25, 2014, on Claimant's FIP case, and supplement for should refer Claimant to PATH.
	Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 6/18/2014

Date Mailed: 6/18/2014

MJB / pf

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

