# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-001869

Issue No.: 6001

Case No.:
Hearing Date: June 10, 2014

County: Genesee County DHS #6

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

## **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 10, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Department of Human Services (Department) included

### <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly determine the Claimant's Child Development and Care (CDC) eligibility?

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The Claimant was an ongoing Child Development and Care (CDC) recipient.
- 2. The Claimant started new employment on October 21, 2013.
- 3. On March 20, 2014, the Department notified the Claimant that she was not eligible for Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits as of December 29, 2013.
- 4. On April 24, 2014, the Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing, protesting the Department's failure to issue Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual

(BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020.

The Claimant was an ongoing Child Development and Care (CDC) recipient when she started new employment on October 21, 2013. On March 20, 2014, the Department notified the Claimant that she was not eligible for Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits as of December 29, 2013, based on her income.

The Claimant did not dispute that her income made her ineligible for Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits, but that the Department's delay in notifying her of this determination caused her to be responsible for a large child care expenses.

The application for Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits informs potential recipients that they are responsible for any child care costs not paid by the Department. Furthermore, the burden of establishing eligibility to receive benefits falls on the Claimant.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits, and the Claimant has failed to establish her eligibility for the Child Development and Care (CDC) program.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 6/20/2014

Date Mailed: 6/20/2014

KS / hi

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

