# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 14-001712 Issue No.: 2001

Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 12, 2014

County: Wayne (35-Redford)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 12, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) benefits case?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On February 11, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a redetermination packet that was due on March 3, 2014.
- 2. On March 20, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a notice of case action informing him that his MA benefits case was to close effective April 1, 2014, for failure to provide requested documentation/information.
- 3. On March 12, 2014 Claimant requested a hearing to protest the closure of his MA benefits case.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual

(BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

At the hearing, Claimant testified that he had not received a request for information until two days before it was due. He also stated that he called the Department at the SSPC-East and informed a that he had received his redetermination packet two days before it was due.

According to the Department, Claimant reapplied for the MA benefits that had been closed. From the testimony taken at the hearing, this Administrative Law Judge believes that Claimant was protesting the delivery of the redetermination packet two days before its due date and then he reapplied for the same benefits.

It was not apparent whether Claimant called SSPC-East concerning the late delivery of the redetermination form sent February 11, 2014, or a verification form which was sent to Claimant upon his reapplication.

Upon further questioning of Claimant, this Administrative Law Judge was still unable to discern whether Claimant's testimony was directed at his medical benefits before their closure on April 1, 2014, or benefits that he had subsequently applied for at the suggestion of the Department.

In any event, it appears that Claimant failed to respond in a timely fashion to the Department's attempt to progress with its redetermination of Claimant's MA benefits case. BAM 130 (April 2014).

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

$\boxtimes$	acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's MA benefits
	case effective April 1, 2014.
	did not act in accordance with Department policy when it .
	failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department
	policy when it .

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is	
□ AFFIRMED.     □ REVERSED.     □ AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to     .	and REVERSED IN PART with respect to
	Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 6/23/2014

Date Mailed: 6/25/2014

MJB / pf

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

