STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 14-001672 Issue No.: 3008 Case No.: June 2, 2014 Hearing Date: County: **OAKLAND-DISTRICT 2**

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 2, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included her AHR, Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly deny the Claimant's application \Box close Claimant's case \boxtimes reduce Claimant's benefits for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)? (CDC)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for \bowtie received: ☐ FIP **FAP** MA SDA benefits.

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care

- On May 1, 2014, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application
 ☐ closed Claimant's case ⊠ reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.
- 3. On April 18, 2014, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.
- 4. On April 25, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

∑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

When determining eligibility for FAP benefits, the household's total income must be evaluated. All earned and unearned income of each household member must be included unless specifically excluded. BEM, Item 500. A standard deduction from income of search a month may be deducted for senior/disabled/veteran group members. Another deduction from income is provided if monthly shelter costs are in excess of 50% of the household's income after all of the other deductions have been allowed, up to a maximum of for non-senior/disabled/veteran households. BEM, Items 500 and 554; RFT 255; 7 CFR 273.2. Only heat, electricity, sewer, trash and telephone are allowed deductions. BEM 554. Any other expenses are considered non-critical, and thus, not allowed to be deducted for gross income. Furthermore, RFT 255 states exactly how much is allowed to be claimed for each deduction.

In this case, the Administrative Law Judge has reviewed the FAP budget and finds that the Department properly computed the claimant's gross income. The gross unearned income and earned income amounts must be counted as income. The federal regulations at 7 CFR 273.10 provide standards for the amount of a household's benefits. The Department, in compliance with the federal regulations, has prepared issuance tables which are set forth at Bridges Reference Manual, Table 260. After reviewing the reference tables and claimant's confirmed income, the undersigned has determined that the Department awarded the claimant the correct amount of FAP benefits for the time period in question. The Administrative Law Judge has reviewed the budget and found no significant errors.

Page 3 of 4 14-001672 RJC

While the claimant felt that the income used by the Department was irregular income, this income was income directly from a State agency and was verified by direct communication with the State agency. While such a finding by the Department with regards to income is rebuttable, claimant offered no evidence to rebut the submitted evidence other than testimony. As the great weight of the evidence therefore shows claimant's income to be correctly calculated, the undersigned must therefore hold that the Department correctly verified and used claimant's income in the FAP budgeting process.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

acted in accordance with Department policy when it reduced claimant's FAP allotment.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

AFFIRMED.

ROBERT J. CHAVEZ Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 9, 2014

Date Mailed: June 9, 2014

RJC/tm

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CC:		