## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| ı | N  | T | Н | F | N  | IΔ | T | т | F | R |   | F |   |
|---|----|---|---|---|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|   | ıv |   |   |   | ıv |    |   | _ |   | • | _ |   | _ |

|  | Reg. No.:<br>Issue No.:<br>Case No.:<br>Hearing Date:<br>County:              | 14-001548<br>3008<br>May 29, 2014<br>WAYNE-DISTRICT 57                              |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| HEARING DEC  | ISION   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Following Claimant's request for a hearing, to Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 9 notice, telephone hearing was held on May Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Participants on behalf of the Department of Hearing and Family Independence Manager. | 9 and 400.37; 7 Cl<br>99.33; and 45 CFl<br>y 29, 2014, fron<br>e Claimant and | FR 273.15 to 273.18;<br>R 205.10. After due<br>n Detroit, Michigan.<br>s a witness. |  |  |  |  |
| ISSUE  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Due to a failure to comply with the verification properly $\square$ deny Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ close benefits for:  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li><li>☑ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li><li>(CDC)?</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li></ul>  |   | y Assistance (SDA)?<br>lopment and Care   |  |  |  |  |
| FINDINGS OF FACT   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimon  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ received: ☐FIP ☒FAP ☐MA ☐SDA ☐CI benefits.  | DC  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Claimant was required to submit requested   | verification by 4/14  | l/14.   |  |  |  |  |

3. On 5/1/14, the Department

|   | <ul> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.</li> </ul>   |
|---|---|
| 4.  | On 4/21/14, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of its action.  |
| 5.  | On 4/24/14, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's action.  |
|   | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW  |
| Adm   | artment policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges hinistrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual M), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).   |
| is es<br>is in<br>(form<br>MCL                    | The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] stablished by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and applemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department nerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 3001 to .3015.  |
| was<br>days<br>Dep<br>stub<br>She<br>that<br>rece | sent a verification checklist for loss of employment and pay information (last 30 s) to be returned by 4/14/14. After receiving the checklist called the artment caseworker assigned to this case to explain that she could not get check s because she did not have check stubs as her pay was sent to a debit card only. sought assistance from the Department regarding this issue. She credibly testified she left a message before the Verification Checklist due date. did not ive a response from the Department. Thereafter the case was closed due to failure erify employment. Exhibit 1 and 2. |
| did<br>Dep<br>Dep<br>BAM<br>addi<br>cont          | ed upon the evidence presented at the hearing, it is determined that the Claimant not refuse to provide information and required assistance to do so from the artment and the Claimant's request for assistance was not responded to by the artment. Therefore, the Department improperly closed the Claimant's FAP case. If 130 (7/1/13). We was advised that she must provide the employer's name ress and contact information so that the Department can attempt to make collateral act with the employer to determine what income was received by y loss of employment.                               |

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any finds that the Department

idid not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed the FAP case for failure to verify information.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

- REVERSED.
- □ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
- 1. The Department shall reinstate the Claimant's FAP case and assist the in obtaining verification of loss of employment and pay stubs through collateral contact and determine eligibility for FAP.
- 2. The Department shall issue a FAP supplement if any to the Claimant for FAP benefits the Claimant was otherwise entitled to receive in accordance with Department policy.

Lynn M. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 6/2/2014

Date Mailed: 6/3/2014

LMF/tm

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

