STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 14-001081 Issue No.: 2004

Case No.: Hearing Date:

June 11, 2014

County: OAKLAND-DISTRICT 3

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jacquelyn A. McClinton

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 11, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant; Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR)/Interpreter; and Claimant's wife. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department act properly when it failed to process Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for Medical Assistance (MA) and the Medical Cost Sharing Program (MSP) on August 15, 2013.
- 2. On August 27, 2013, the Department sent a Notice of Case Action notifying Claimant that his application for MA benefits had been denied and also sent a Verification Checklist (VCL) regarding the requested MSP benefits.
- 3. On September 6, 2013, Claimant's AHR submitted the requested documents.
- 4. On March 18, 2014, Claimant filed a Request for Hearing regarding the Department's failure to process his application regarding the MSP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Additionally, when the Department receives an application for assistance, it is to be registered and processed in accordance with Department policies. The standard of promptness (SOP) begins the date the department receives an application/filing form, with minimum required information. BAM 115 (July 2013), p. 15. The Department is to certify program approval or denial of the application within 45 days and upon certification of eligibility results, the Department is to notify clients in writing of positive and negative actions by generating the appropriate notice of case action. After processing an initial application, the Department will notify clients of the approval or denial. BAM 115, pp. 13-25; BAM 220 (July 2013), pp. 1, 19-20.

The Department testified that it received Claimant's August 15, 2013 application which included a request for MA and MSP. The Department indicated that it sent a Notice of Case Action regarding the denial of MA benefits and a VCL regarding the MSP. The Department stated that its system does not show that the proofs listed on the VCL were returned by the due date and acknowledged that if the proofs were not returned, a Notice of Case Action regarding the MSP should have been sent but was not. Claimant's AHR testified that she personally delivered the proofs requested in the VCL on September 6, 2013 which was on or before the due date. The Department representative appearing at the hearing was unable to verify whether the Department received the proofs but indicated that it was possible that they were received but not entered into the system. Whether or not the proofs were received, the Department was required to process Claimant's application for MSP benefits within 45 days and it admittedly failed to do so.

Because the Department received but failed to process Claimant's August 15, 2013 application for MSP benefits, the Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reregister and reprocess Claimant's August 15, 2013 application for MSP benefits;
- 2. Provide Claimant with any supplements he was eligible to receive but did not; and
- 3. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision regarding Claimant's August 15, 2013 application for MSP benefits.

Jacquelyn A. McClinton
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 6/30/2014

Date Mailed: 6/30/2014

JAM/cl

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;

• Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

