STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

				_
TH	- W	ΙДΙ	 ~ () I -
	_	_	 	

		Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2014-34476 2000 May 19, 2014 Wayne (19)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chavez						
HEARING DECISION						
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 19, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included						
	ISSUE					
Did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case \square calculate Claimant's allotment for:						
☐ F	family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)? Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)? Direct Support Services (DSS)? State SSI Payments (SSP)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:						
1.	Claimant ☐ applied for ☐ received: ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ benefits.	SDA CDC	□DSS □SSP			
2.	On April 1, 2014, the Department					

	☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ changed Claimant's benefit allotment due to computer error.					
3.	On February 28, 2014, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.					
4.	On April 3, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.					
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW						
Adm	artment policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges hinistrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual M), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).					
Res USC Age	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal ponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ncy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, 00.3101 to .3131.					
affirı	r opening the record, the Department admitted error in the current case, and stated matively that steps were required to correct the action taken with regards to mant's benefit case. Therefore, no other findings are necessary.					
In the current case, the Department testified that it must reinstate claimant's FIP application and benefits retroactive to the date of application, and contact the help desk for a ticket in order to accomplish the reinstatement in order to correct the action take with regard to claimant's current benefits case. The Administrative Law Judge therefore holds that the Department must take the action stated.						
	Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions aw, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department					
⊠ c □ fa	acted in accordance with Department policy when it . Iid not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed claimant's FIP case. ailed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it .					
DECISION AND ORDER						
Acc	ordingly, the Department's decision is					
	AFFIRMED. REVERSED.					

- ☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
- 1. Reinstate claimant's FIP application and benefits retroactive to the date of application.

Robert J. Chavez
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 28, 2014

Date Mailed: May 28, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings

Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

RJC/tm

