## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No(s).: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2014-34322 6001 May 8, 2014 Wayne (17)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chave	ez	
HEARING DECISION		
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 8, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan Participants on behalf of Claimant included . Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included . ES.		
ISSUE		
Due to excess income, did the Department properly $\boxtimes$ deny the Claimant's application $\square$ close Claimant's case $\square$ reduce Claimant's benefits for:		
	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the cevidence on the whole record, finds as material fac		ial, and substantial
Claimant ⊠ applied for □ received: □ FIP □ FAP □ MA □ SDA ☒ Cobenefits.      Claimant ⊠ applied for □ received: □ FIP □ FAP □ MA □ SDA ☒ Cobenefits.	CDC	
2. On March 19, 2014, the Department 🖂 de	nied Claimant's a	pplication

closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits

due to excess income.

- 3. On March 19, 2014, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.
- 4. On March 26, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

(BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
∑ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.50015020.
Additionally, claimant's current monthly gross income is Claimant confirmed this amount during the hearing and verified that the submitted paychecks showing income were correct. The gross income limit for the CDC program for a group size of 2 is RFT 270. Claimant therefore exceeds the gross income limit for the CDC program, and is ineligible for CDC benefits.
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department
<ul> <li>         □ acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied claimant's CDC benefits.         □ did not act in accordance with Department policy when it         □ failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it         □.     </li> </ul>
DECISION AND ORDER
Accordingly, the Department's decision is
□ AFFIRMED.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 29, 2014

Date Mailed: May 29, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides or has its principal place of business in the State, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

