### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No(s).: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

## **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, an in person hearing was held on **Exercise**, from Grand Rapids, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Exercise** 

### **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly Claimant's FAP benefits?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was a recipient of Food Assistance Program benefits receiving \$ per month.
- 2. In **Example 1**, the Department received information through a wage match that Claimant was working and earning employment income.
- 3. After receiving confirmation of Claimant's income in budgeted the income and determined Claimant's FAP benefit to be **solution** per month effective **solution**.
- 4. Claimant requested hearing on **Contesting the reduction in his** FAP benefits.

- 5. Claimant paid child support in that was not budgeted.
- 6. Claimant paid rent in that was not budgeted.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

∑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, the Department discovered employment income for the Claimant's and properly budgeted the income reducing Claimant's FAP benefits. Claimant did not dispute the amount of employment income and understood in must be budgeted. Claimant testified at hearing that he has been paying child support, a consolidated inquiry printout showed that this was correct. The Department has not budgeted the child support Claimant has been paying. Claimant testified that he is also paying rent that was not budgeted. The Department agreed that the child support payments and the rent should be budgeted. The Department representative stated that these payments were not disclosed prior to hearing. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department should budget the child support payments Claimant made in and the rent he paid, once it is verified, and determine Claimant's FAP allotment. At hearing, both sides agreed that this was the correct action.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

Did not act in accordance with Department policy when it determined Claimant's FAP benefits.

# DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

# **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

### 2014-31410/ATM

- 1. Issue a verification to assess Claimant's rental obligation.
- 2. Reprocess Claimant's FAP allotment from the support he paid, his rental obligation, his employment income and any other relevant factors.

Am militi

Aaron McClintic Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 5, 2014

Date Mailed: May 6, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## 2014-31410/ATM

# ATM/nr

cc: