## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:								
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2014-31049 2001 April 16, 2014 Wayne (82-31)						
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane								
HEARING DECISION								
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 16, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant  Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included  ISSUE								
Did the Department properly $\square$ deny Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ close Claimant's case $\square$ calculate Claimant's allotment for:								
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	_							
FINDINGS OF FACT								
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:								
Claimant ☐ applied for ☐ received: ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ Seption of the second of the secon	SDA 🗌 CDC	□DSS □SSP						

2.	On February 20, 2014, the Department
3.	On February 27, 2014, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.
4.	On March 5, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Adm	artment policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges ninistrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual M), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Sec 1008 Inde	Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social urity Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 3.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family ependence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 105.
affirı	r opening the record, the Department admitted error in the current case, and stated matively that steps were required to correct the action taken with regards to mant's benefit case. Therefore, no other findings are necessary.
Clai bene	he current case, the Department testified that it must reverse the closing of mant's MA in order to correct the action take with regard to Claimant's current efits case. The Administrative Law Judge, therefore, holds that the Department take the action stated.
	Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions aw, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department
⊠ c	acted in accordance with Department policy when it lid not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's MA case. ailed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it
	DECISION AND ORDER
Acc	ordingly, the Department's decision is
	AFFIRMED. REVERSED.

	AFFIF	RMED	IN PA	\RT wi	th respe	ect to		and	REVI	ERSE	D IN	PART	with	res	pect
	to														
$\boxtimes$	THE	DEP	ARTMI	ENT I	S ORD	ERED	TO	BEG	IN DO	DING	THE	FOL	LOW	ING	, IN
	ACCC	DRDA	NCE \	NITH	<b>DEPAR</b>	TMEN	IT PO	OLICY	/ AN[	) CO	NSIS	TENT	WIT	н т	HIS
	HEAR	RING	DECIS	ION,	WITHIN	10 D	AYS	OF 1	THE D	DATE	OF	MAILI	NG C	F T	HIS
	DECIS	SION	AND C	DRDÉF	₹:										

1. Reinstate Claimant's MA retroactively back to February 20, 2014, and supplement for any missed benefits in accordance with Department policy.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 8, 2014

Date Mailed: May 8, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## MJB/pf

