# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.:	
Issue No.:	
Case No.:	
 Hearing Date:	
County:	

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and a witness Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

## **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's Medical Assistance and State Disability applications?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- Claimant applied for MA-P and SDA on retroactive coverage back to
   The Medical Review Team denied the application on
   Claimant filed a request for hearing part of the space of
- 6. Claimant is 6' 4" tall and weighs 300 pounds.

capacity to perform a wide range of unskilled medium work.

- 7. Claimant is 27 years of age.
- 8. Claimant's impairments have been medically diagnosed as back pain, hypertension, bipolar disorder, ADHD, depression, alcohol and drug dependency.

# 2014-19210/ATM

9.	Claimant has the following symptoms: insomnia, memory and concentration problems, racing thoughts, panic attacks.
10.	Claimant completed high school.
11.	Claimant is able to read, write, and perform basic math skills.
12.	Claimant is not working. Claimant last worked in as a Claimant previously worked as a meat cutter.
13.	Claimant lives with his parents.
14.	Claimant testified that he cannot perform some household chores.
15.	Claimant takes the following prescribed medications:
	<ul> <li>a. Depakote</li> <li>b. Seroquel</li> <li>c. Lexapro</li> <li>d. Nexium</li> <li>e. Toporol</li> <li>f. Remeron</li> </ul>
16.	i. Sitting: 30 minutes ii. Standing: 15 minutes iii. Walking: ½ mile iv. Bend/stoop: difficulty v. Lifting: 50 lbs. vi. Grip/grasp: no limitations
17.	In a psychological evaluation dated , Claimant was found to have a GAF score of 55 with diagnoses of bipolar disorder, ADHD, generalized anxiety disorder and personality disorder. Under prognosis the examining psychologist wrote "Guarded to fair and improved with abstinence and continued mental health treatment and substance abuse interventions."
18.	In, Claimant was found to have a GAF score of 50 with diagnosis of bipolar disorder, depressed
19.	Claimant testified that he has been attending therapy for over 6 months on a regular basis.
20.	In a consultative physical examination report dated examining physician wrote the following under conclusions: "1. Hypertension. The patient reports a history of hypertension. His blood pressures today are known to be in the pre-hypertensive range. Clinically by exam there did not

appear to be evidence of end organ damage as no hypertensive retinopathy, peripheral vascular disease or evidence of heart failure is noted. The patient is known to walk normally, did not require the use of an assist and did not have difficulty with orthopedic maneuvers. Full use of hands is appreciated. 2. Bipolar disorder. The patient reports a 15-year history for bipolar disorder. He is currently on a multiple drug regime for his treatment. He does report a previous brief hospitalization for same. As this time he reports his symptoms are fairly well controlled but he will still note some sleep difficulties. On examination today he is pleasant appropriate and cooperative throughout the exam. He has continued to receive therapy through Community Mental Health."

- 21. In a Mental Medical Source Statement dated psychiatrist found Claimant to be markedly limited in 8 of 15 categories, moderately limited in 4 categories and extremely limited in his ability to maintain attention and concentration for extended periods of time. Many of the categories Claimant was found to be markedly limited in were work related.
- 22. In \_\_\_\_\_, Claimant was found by his treating psychiatrist to have a GAF score of 45 with diagnoses of bipolar I disorder, generalized anxiety disorder and ADHD.
- 23. In Claimant was found to have a GAF score of 40.
- 24. In was found to have a GAF score of 42.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a Department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The Medical Assistance (MA-P) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the MA-P program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180.

#### 2014-19210/ATM

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department uses the Federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the MA-P program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical, or mental, impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted, or can be expected to last, for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

Federal regulations require that the Department use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

# "Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical, or mental, impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted, or can be expected to last, for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905.

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is, or is not, disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). In this case, the Claimant is not working. Therefore, the Claimant is not disqualified a this step in the evaluation.

The second step to be determined in considering whether the Claimant is considered disabled is the severity of the impairment. In order to qualify the impairment must be considered severe, which is defined as an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical, or mental, ability to perform basic work activities. Examples of these include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering, simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers, and usual work situations; and

6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

In this case, the Claimant's medical evidence of record supports a finding that Claimant has significant physical and mental limitations upon Claimant's ability to perform basic work activities such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling. Medical evidence has clearly established that the Claimant has an impairment (or combination of impairments) that has more than a minimal effect on the Claimant's work activities. See Social Security Rulings: 85-28, 88-13, and 82-63.

In this case, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant may be considered presently disabled at the third step. Claimant meets listing 12.04 or its equivalent. The testimony of Claimant's treating therapist supports this position. This Administrative Law Judge will not continue through the remaining steps of the assessment. Claimant's testimony and the medical documentation support the finding that Claimant meets the requirements of the listing. Claimant has other significant health problems that were not fully addressed in this decision because Claimant is found to meet a listing for a different impairment.

Therefore, Claimant is found to be disabled.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that Claimant is medically disabled as of

Accordingly, the Department's decision is hereby **REVERSED** and the Department is ORDERED to:

- 1. Initiate a review of the application for SDA, MA-P and retro MA dated if not done previously, to determine Claimant's non-medical eligibility.
- 2. The Department shall inform Claimant of

Aaron McClintic

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 12, 2014

Date Mailed: May 12, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- · Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights
  of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### ATM/nr

CC:

