# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-69160

Issue No.: 2009

Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 26, 2014

County: Wayne (82)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on February 26, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants included the above-named Claimant. testified and appeared as Claimant's authorized hearing representative. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (DHS) included , Specialist.

## ISSUE

The issue is whether DHS properly denied Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA) for the reason that Claimant is not a disabled individual.

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 2. Claimant's only basis for MA benefits was as a disabled individual.
- 3. On the Medical Review Team (MRT) determined that Claimant was not a disabled individual (see Exhibits 1-2).

- 4. On DHS denied Claimant's application for MA benefits and mailed a Notice of Case Action informing Claimant of the denial.
- 5. On \_\_\_\_\_, Claimant's AHR requested a hearing disputing the denial of MA benefits.
- 6. On SHRT determined that Claimant was not a disabled individual, in part, by application of Medical-Vocational Rule 203.18.
- 7. On a nadministrative hearing was held.
- 8. Claimant presented new medical documents (Exhibits A1-A40) at the hearing.
- 9. During the hearing, Claimant waived the right to receive a timely hearing decision.
- 10. On a number of the number of the state o
- 11. On SHRT determined that Claimant was disabled, effective based on application of Medical Vocational Rules 201.01 and 201.09.
- 12. On \_\_\_\_\_, the Michigan Administrative Hearings System received the hearing packet and updated SHRT decision.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105. Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) and Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Prior to a substantive analysis of Claimant's hearing request, it should be noted that Claimant's AHR noted special arrangements in order to participate in the hearing; specifically, an in-person hearing was requested. Claimant's AHR's request was granted and the hearing was conducted accordingly.

Disability for purposes of MA benefits is established if one of the following circumstances applies:

- by death (for the month of death);
- the applicant receives Supplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits;
- SSI benefits were recently terminated due to financial factors;
- the applicant receives Retirement Survivors and Disability Insurance (RSDI) on the basis of being disabled; or
- RSDI eligibility is established following denial of the MA benefit application (under certain circumstances).
   BEM 260 (7/2012) pp. 1-2

There was no evidence that any of the above circumstances apply to Claimant. Accordingly, Claimant may not be considered for Medicaid eligibility without undergoing a medical review process which determines whether Claimant is a disabled individual. *Id.* at 2.

Claimant alleged a claim of disability, beginning with the benefit month of the Company of the DHS (through SHRT) determined that Claimant was disabled, effective and the DHS finding of disability, there is no longer a dispute concerning Claimant's disability from the Claimant's MA application.

Claimant alleged a claim of disability, beginning with the benefit month of the Claimant was disabled, effective and the Claimant was disabled, effect

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law finds that DHS improperly denied Claimant's eligibility for MA benefits. It is ordered that DHS:

- (1) reinstate Claimant's MA application dated for retroactive MA benefits from (2);
- (2) evaluate Claimant's eligibility for MA benefits subject to the finding that Claimant is a disabled individual beginning ;
- (3) initiate a supplement for any benefits not issued as a result of the improper application denial; and
- (4) schedule a review of benefits in no sooner than one year from the date of this administrative decision, if Claimant is found eligible for future MA benefits.

The actions taken by DHS are **REVERSED**.

Christian Gardocki Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>5/22/2014</u>

Date Mailed: 5/22/2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### CG/hw

