STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 14-001422

3004

May 27, 2014 WAYNE-DISTRICT 17

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: LYNN M. FERRIS

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 27, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly calculate the Claimant's Food Assistance?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant completed a FAP application and provided the Department a verification of rent for a house payment that he pays on behalf of his ex wife so that he can live in the house he occupies. The Claimant's shelter verification was timely
- 2. The Department processed the Claimant's FAP application and did not include a shelter expense.
- 3. The Department issued a Notice of Case Action on April 2, 2014, approved the FAP application, and issued benefits in the amount of the per month.
- 4. The Claimant requested a hearing on April 23, 2014 protesting the failure of the Department to include his housing expenses when calculating the FAP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

∑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, in this case the Claimant presented at the hearing a shelter verification form which he provided to the Department of 4/28/14 before the verification due date. The Department did not include the shelter expense as the Department did not receive the verification. At the hearing, the Claimant credibly testified that he did file the verification in a timely manner and the Department did not rebut this testimony and only had the case file that related to the cash assistance. Based upon the record presented, it is determined that the Claimant timely verified his shelter expense and those expenses should have been included in the FAP benefit calculation. Claimant Exhibit A.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

☐ did not act in accordance with Department policy when it failed to include a shelter expense for the Claimant when calculating the Claimant's FAP benefit allotment.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

 \boxtimes REVERSED.

- THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
- 1. The Department shall recalculate the Claimant's FAP benefits as of April 1, 2014 ongoing and shall determine the correct shelter expense based upon the verification of shelter expense provided to the Department by the Claimant on April 28, 2014 and at the hearing.

2. The Department shall issue a supplement to the Claimant for any FAP benefits the Claimant is otherwise entitled to receive in accordance with Department policy.

Lynn M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 5/29/2014

Date Mailed: 5/29/2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CC:				_
	200 1			
	á.			