STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 14-000888 Issue No.: 1008

Issue No.: Case No.:

Hearing Date: May 22, 2014

County: Wayne (18-Taylor)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 22, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On February 1, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a PATH appointment notice for February 10, 2014.
- 2. On February 20, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a notice of noncompliance that scheduled a triage meeting for February 27, 2014. Claimant did not attend the meeting.
- 3. On February 20, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a notice of case action informing her that her FIP benefits case would be closed effective April 1, 2014..
- 4. On April 8, 2014, the Department sent Claimant notice of a prehearing conference to be held April 18, 2014. Claimant did not attend the prehearing conference.

5. On April 21, 2014, Claimant requested a hearing to protest the closure of her FIP benefits case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260, MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

At the hearing, Claimant argued that a car accident and the subsequent injuries from same caused her to miss her PATH appointment and she requested a medical determination verification checklist which was sent to Claimant on January 31, 2014.

During questioning, Claimant admitted that she did not contact the Department concerning her inability to complete various requests for documentation.

The Department is bound by policy to assist claimants in obtaining documentation upon request. In the instant case, there is no assertion by Claimant that she made such a request of the Department, either for assistance or for additional time. BAM 130 (January 2014).

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

\boxtimes	acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FIP benefits
	case effective April 1, 2014.
	did not act in accordance with Department policy when it
	failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department
	policy when it .

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

🔀 AFFIRMED.	
REVERSED.	
AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to	and REVERSED IN PART with respect to

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 5/27/2014

Date Mailed: 5/27/2014

MJB / pf

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

