

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**



Reg. No.: 14-000621  
Issue No.: 3002  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: May 6, 2014  
County: Washtenaw

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Darryl T. Johnson**

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 6, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Family Independence Manager [REDACTED] and Case Manager [REDACTED].

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's application for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for FAP and MA benefits on February 13, 2014.
2. On February 21, 2014, Claimant participated in a scheduled telephone interview.
3. On February 21, 2014, the Department mailed a Verification Checklist (VCL) to Claimant with a due date of March 3, 2014. (Exhibit 1 Page 6.)
4. In a Notice of Case Action (NCA) dated March 4, 2014 (Exhibit 1 Pages 10-13), Claimant was notified that his FAP was denied effective February 13, 2014 because of his failure to respond timely to the VCL.
5. On April 1, 2014 Claimant requested a hearing.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

“Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes completion of necessary forms; see Refusal to Cooperate Penalties in this item. Clients must completely and truthfully answer all questions on forms and in interviews.” BAM 105.

Per BAM 130, at page 6, says:

Verifications are considered to be timely if received by the date they are due. For electronically transmitted verifications (fax, email or Mi Bridges document upload), the date of the transmission is the receipt date. Verifications that are submitted after the close of regular business hours through the drop box or by delivery of a DHS representative are considered to be received the next business day.

Send a negative action notice when:

The client indicates refusal to provide a verification, **or**

The time period given has elapsed and the client has **not** made a reasonable effort to provide it.

The issue is whether the Claimant provided timely verification in response to the request. The evidence is persuasive that the forms were mailed to the Claimant at his address of record. The evidence also establishes that the Claimant did not fully respond or make a reasonable effort to respond by the deadline. Claimant testified that he completed the form and mailed it at the Post Office on the due date. The Department testified that the Claimant’s response was never received.

Because Claimant has not produced evidence to show that he responded timely to the VCL (and the evidence actually suggests that it was not submitted before the deadline) the undersigned is persuaded that Claimant did not comply timely, and did not make a reasonable effort to comply timely.

Because he did not comply by timely providing his verification, the Department properly denied his application for FAP benefits.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's application for MA and FAP benefits.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.



---

Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: **5/6/2014**

Date Mailed: **5/6/2014**

DTJ / las

cc:

