STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:			
		Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie			
HEARING DECISION			
Following Claimant's request for Administrative Law Judge pursuant 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 C notice, telephone hearing was held Participants on behalf of Claimant in Department of Human Services (De	to MCL 400.9 FR 99.1 to 99 on Thursday, included the Cl	and 400.37; 7 CF .33; and 45 CFR , from aimant. Participa	R 273.15 to 273.18; 205.10. After due Lansing, Michigan.
	ISSUE		
Due to excess assets, did the Depa	rtment properly	denied Claimant	's application?
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐		Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? State Emergency Relief (SER)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, be evidence on the whole record, inclufact:			
 Claimant ∑ applied for ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA benefits. 	☐ received: ☐ AMP ☐ S	SDA SER	
Due to excess assets, on application.	·	the Department	denied Claimant's
3. On Representative (AR) its decision		ent Claimant/Cla	imant's Authorized

4. On Grand Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, the Claimant applied for MA on The Claimant was a recipient of Social Security RSDI income of where he paid his own Medicare part b of Department Exhibit 19.

The Department determined that the Claimant had excess assets for MA because the Claimant had 2 certificates of deposits totalling Department Exhibit 20. However, the Claimant had taken out a loan with his bank using the cds as collateral for home repairs on Department Exhibit 23-27. On the Department Caseworker sent the Claimant a notice that his MA application was denied due to excess assets. Department Exhibit 28-33. BAM 105, 115, 130, 220, and 600. BEM 400.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that although the Claimant's cds are still owned by him, the cds are not available or accessible because the cds are being used as collateral for his loan. The Claimant would not be able to cash the cds in based on the terms of the loan agreement. The Claimant pays the interest on a monthly basis with a lump sum payment of the 2 cds due on available or accessible to the Claimant.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it determined that the Claimant had excess assets for MA because of his 2 cds that were collateral for a bank loan.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS

HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate a redetermination of the Claimant's eligibility for MA by excluding the Claimant's cds as an available asset for the Claimant's application for MA dated on ...
- 2. Provide the Claimant with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.
- 3. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she/he may be eligible to receive, if any.

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Carmon II. Salvie

Date Signed: May 22, 2014

Date Mailed: May 22, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/nr

