

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

██████████  
██████████████████  
██████████████████████████████

Reg No.: 2014-6635  
Issue No.: 2009  
Case No.: ██████████  
Hearing Date February 26, 2014  
Wayne County DHS (15)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris**

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 26, 2014 from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ██████████, Eligibility Specialist.

During the hearing, the Claimant waived the time period for the issuance of this decision in order to allow for the submission of additional medical evidence. Additional records included a consultative medical evaluation which was to be scheduled by the Department. The Department scheduled a consultative medical examination as ordered by Interim Order which the Claimant did not attend. The Department advised that the Claimant was notified by mail. On March 24, 2014, this office received notification that the Claimant failed to attend the consultative evaluation scheduled for March 10, 2014 at 3 p.m. This matter is now before the undersigned for a final decision.

**ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly determined that the Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance ("MA-P") and State Disability Assistance ("SDA") benefit programs?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant submitted an application for public assistance seeking MA-P benefits on June 18, 2013.
2. On October 7, 2013, the Medical Review Team ("MRT") found the Claimant not disabled. (Exhibit 1, pp.1 )
3. The Department notified the Claimant of the MRT determination on October 9, 2013.
4. On October 15, 2013, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing.
5. On December 17, 2013, the State Hearing Review Team found the Claimant not disabled. (Exhibit 2)
6. During the February 26, 2014 hearing, the Claimant agreed to attend a consultative examination and an Interim Order was issued ordering the Department to schedule the examination.
7. No consultative exam was completed because the Claimant did not attend the consultative exam scheduled for March 10, 2014. The Department sent the Medical Exam notice of appointment to the correct address given by the Claimant at the hearing ( [REDACTED] ) and sent the Notice manually to the [REDACTED] address. The address given by the Claimant at the hearing was for a homeless shelter and that address could not be changed in the system because the case was open in another district. The Department did however provide notice of the appointment by sending a manual notice to the Claimant at the [REDACTED] address. After the examination appointment was missed the Claimant acknowledged to the Department that he missed the exam and did get the notice.
8. On March 24, 2014, notice was received from the Department that the Claimant failed to attend the evaluation.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. Department policies are found in BAM, BEM, and RFT. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a physical or mental impairment which meets federal SSI disability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI benefits based on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

Disability is defined as the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905(a). The person claiming a physical or mental disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as his or her medical history, clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities or ability to reason and make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is alleged. 20 CFR 416.913. An individual's subjective pain complaints are not, in and of themselves, sufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.908; 20 CFR 416.929(a). Similarly, conclusory statements by a physician or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind, absent supporting medical evidence, is insufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927.

In this case, the record was insufficient for a determination of disability. As a result, the record was extended to allow for the submission of a completed consultative medical evaluation. This office was notified that the Claimant failed to attend the Consultative Examination scheduled for him on March 10, 2014. On March 24, 2014, the Department advised that the Claimant had missed the consultative examination. The Medical Examination Notice of Appointment was sent to the correct address on [REDACTED]. When an individual who is applying for benefits fails to take part in a consultative examination or test necessary to determine disability, the individual may be found not disabled. 20 CFR 416.918(a). In this case, the consultative examination was necessary to determine disability; therefore, the Claimant is found not disabled.


Accordingly, the Department's denial is AFFIRMED. The Claimant may reapply for Medical Assistance at any time.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law finds the Claimant not disabled for purposes of the MA-P and SDA benefit programs.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

The Department's determination is AFFIRMED.



---

**Lynn M. Ferris**  
Administrative Law Judge  
For Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 2, 2014

Date Mailed: April 2, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

2014-6635/LMF

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/tm

cc: [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]