#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue Nos.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 2014-31946 2002, 3002, 6002

Hearing Date: April 10, 2014 County: Wayne (82-57)

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 10, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

## ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's Child Development and Care (CDC) application for failure to provide verification?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On January 22, 2014, Claimant applied for CDC benefits.
- 2. On February 20, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a verification checklist requesting various pieces of information/documentation.
- 3. On March 7, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a notice of case action informing her that her CDC application was denied effective January 12, 2014.
- 4. On March 12, 2014, Claimant requested a hearing to protest the denial of her CDC application.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020.

At the outset of the hearing, Claimant and the Department agreed that Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) and Food Assistance (FAP) cases were both active and had not been closed. The request for a hearing on Claimant's MA and FAP benefits is, therefore, DISMISSED.

## CDC Issue

Claimant further testified that she had sent by facsimile all of the documentation requested by the Department in relation to her CDC application.

Claimant further testified that she had sent the facsimile to the Department on the date required by the verification checklist and could produce documentation of said facsimile being sent on the date demanded by the verification checklist.

The Department testified that, upon the production of such documentation, it would reinstate Claimant's January 22, 2014, CDC application.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

acted in accordance with Department policy when it

- ☐ did not act in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's CDC application of January 22, 2014, for failure to supply requested verification.
- failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it

#### DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

AFFIRMED.

 $\boxtimes$  REVERSED.

AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to

and REVERSED IN PART with respect

- THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
- 1. Upon receiving documentation of Claimant having sent the information requested on the verification checklist on March 3, 2014, the Department will reregister and process Claimant's January 22, 2014, CDC application.

**Michael J. Bennane** Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 15, 2014

Date Mailed: April 15, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/pf

cc:	