

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.:  
Issue No(s):  
Case No.:  
Hearing Date:  
County:

[REDACTED]

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL S. NEWELL**

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on [REDACTED] from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED] APS; and [REDACTED] ES.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly deny the MA application?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On [REDACTED] Claimant applied for MA.
2. On [REDACTED], a Verification checklist was sent to claimant with a due date of [REDACTED]. (Exhibit 1).
3. Claimant representative, [REDACTED] requested an extension on [REDACTED] until [REDACTED] (Exhibit 2).
4. The Department granted the first extension request.
5. On [REDACTED], [REDACTED] requested an extension until [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (Exhibit 3).
6. On [REDACTED], the Department issued a Verification Checklist with a due date of [REDACTED]. (Exhibit 4).

7. The Department considered the extension at Exhibit 4 to be the third extension because the worker stated that the requested extension on [REDACTED] until [REDACTED] had been granted.
8. The Department did not inform anyone that the requested extension on [REDACTED] until [REDACTED] had been granted.
9. The worker stated that the extension at Exhibit 4, which stated a due date of [REDACTED] was actually due [REDACTED] because the Department had granted a prior extension until [REDACTED] and did not inform anyone.
10. On [REDACTED] requested an extension and suggested a date of [REDACTED]. (Exhibit 5).
11. On [REDACTED], the Department issued a Notice of Case Action denying the application for failure to verify and requesting a fourth extension.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, BAM 130 directs the Department to grant up to three extensions. The Department's documentation does not support a finding that it granted three extensions and strongly suggests that the Department granted the second extension a few days late, which it can do, and then attempted to call this second extension a third extension. Indeed, the second extension indicates a due date of [REDACTED], which the Department stated should have been [REDACTED] because it had secretly granted the requested [REDACTED] extension and then granted another one, without a request, before the [REDACTED] date had expired. The Department's position is nonsensical.


The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's MA application.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reinstate the application and request additional documentation if necessary.



---

**Michael S. Newell**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 2, 2014

Date Mailed: May 2, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

2014-31499/MSN

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MSN/las

cc:

