

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2014-31435
Issue No.: 3008
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: April 22, 2014
County: Charlevoix-Emmet

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: C. Adam Purnell

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a three-way telephone hearing was held on April 22, 2014 from Lansing, Michigan. Claimant personally appeared via telephone and provided testimony. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED] (Hearing Facilitator).

ISSUE

Did the Department properly determine Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) monthly allotment?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was active for FAP with a group size of 2 and a \$ [REDACTED] monthly allotment.
2. Claimant and his spouse both received monthly unearned income from RSDI in the amount of \$ [REDACTED]
3. The Department had only budgeted Claimant's monthly unearned income \$ [REDACTED]
4. During redetermination in February, 2014, the Department discovered that Claimant's spouse had \$ [REDACTED] of unearned income that was not previously budgeted.
5. On February 21, 2014, the Department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) which reduced Claimant's monthly FAP to \$ [REDACTED] effective March 1, 2014 through February 29, 2016.

6. On March 3, 2014, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the FAP reduction.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

For FAP purposes, all earned and unearned income available to an applicant or recipient is countable. BEM 500. Earned income means income received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties that were performed for compensation or profit. Unearned income means all income that is not earned, including but not limited to funds received from the Family Independence Program (FIP), State Disability Assistance (SDA), Child Development and Care (CDC), Medicaid (MA), Social Security Benefits (RSDI/SSI), Veterans Administration (VA), Unemployment Compensation Benefits (UCB), Adult Medical Program (AMP), alimony, and child support payments. BEM 500.

The Department uses gross income when determining countable income. BEM 500. Gross income is the amount of income before any deductions such as taxes or garnishments. BEM 500. The amount counted may be more than the client actually receives. BEM 500.

The Department will budget the entire amount of earned and unearned countable income. BEM 550. Gross countable earned income is reduced by a 20% earned income deduction. BEM 550. Every case is allowed the standard deduction shown in RFT 255. BEM 550. The Department documents income budgeting on either a manually-calculated or an automated FAP worksheet. BEM 550.

Here, Claimant requested a hearing because the Department reduced his monthly FAP from \$ [REDACTED] to \$ [REDACTED]. Claimant contends that he did not fully understand why his FAP was reduced. The Department, on the other hand, takes the position that Claimant's monthly FAP was reduced properly because the Department failed to properly include his spouse's unearned income. When the Department later included this income, Claimant's monthly FAP was reduced.

Testimony and other evidence must be weighed and considered according to its reasonableness. *Gardiner v Courtright*, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); *Dep't of Community Health v Risch*, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007). The weight and credibility of this evidence is generally for the fact-finder to determine. *Dep't of*

Community Health, 274 Mich App at 372; *People v Terry*, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997). Moreover, it is for the fact-finder to gauge the demeanor and veracity of the witnesses who appear before him, as best he is able. See, e.g., *Caldwell v Fox*, 394 Mich 401, 407; 231 NW2d 46 (1975); *Zeeland Farm Services, Inc v JBL Enterprises, Inc*, 219 Mich App 190, 195; 555 NW2d 733 (1996).

The record reveals that Claimant was receiving monthly unearned income in the amount of \$ [REDACTED] which is reduced by a standard deduction of \$ [REDACTED] and an excess shelter deduction of \$ [REDACTED] which leaves a net income of \$ [REDACTED]. A claimant with a group size of 2 has a maximum net income limit of \$ [REDACTED] RFT 250. Because Claimant had a certified group size of 2 and a total countable monthly income of \$ [REDACTED] the food issuance tables indicate that the proper monthly FAP allotment is \$ [REDACTED]. See RFT 260.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it reduced Claimant's monthly FAP from \$ [REDACTED] to \$ [REDACTED].

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



C. Adam Purnell
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 29, 2014

Date Mailed: April 29, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAP/las

cc:

