# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:					
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2014-31262 1001 April 7, 2014 Wayne (82-15)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane					
HEARING DECISION					
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 7, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included					
<u>ISSUE</u>					
Did the Department properly $\square$ deny Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ close Claimant's case $\square$ calculate Claimant's allotment for:					
☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐		` ,			
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:					
Claimant ☐ applied for ☐ received: ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ S	SDA 🗌 CDC	□ DSS □ SSP			

benefits.

2.	On February 1, 2014, the Department						
	denied Claimant's application	$\boxtimes$	closed	Claimant's	case	due	to
	Claimant's failure to participate in employment-related activities.						

- 3. On December 26, 2013, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.
- 4. On March 5, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

After opening the record, the Department admitted error in the current case and stated affirmatively that steps were required to correct the action taken with regards to Claimant's benefit case. Therefore, no other findings are necessary.

The Department testified that Claimant had established her incapacity to participate in employment-related activities.

In the current case, the Department testified that it had already attempted to correct the action taken with regard to Claimant's current benefits, but the Department's computer system (BRIDGES) would not accept the correction. The Administrative Law Judge, therefore, holds that the Department must take the action stated below.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

	acted in accordance with Department policy when it .
X	did not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FIP on
	February 1, 2014.
	failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department
	policy when it .

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is	
<ul><li>□ AFFIRMED.</li><li>☑ REVERSED.</li><li>□ AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to to</li></ul>	and REVERSED IN PART with respect
ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT I	D BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS S OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS
<ol> <li>Reinstate Claimant's FIP benefits retresupplement for any missed benefits.</li> </ol>	oactively back to February 1, 2014, and
	Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director
Data Cinnada Annil 40, 0044	Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 10, 2014

Date Mailed: April 10, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

### 2014-31262/MJB

MJB/pf

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

# cc: