

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2014-29841  
Issue No(s): 3002  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: March 26, 2014  
County: SSPC WEST

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Darryl T. Johnson

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 26, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist [REDACTED].

**ISSUES**

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's application for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for FAP benefits on January 15, 2014.
2. The Department mailed an Appointment Client Notice to Claimant on January 17, 2014, scheduling an appointment to review her application on January 30, 2014. (Exhibit 1 Page 5.)
3. On February 3, 2014, the Department mailed to Claimant a Notice of Case Action (NCA) informing her that her FAP was approved for \$ [REDACTED] per month beginning February 1, 2014. (Exhibit 1 Pages 6-8.)
4. On February 3, 2014, the Department also mailed a Verification Checklist (VCL) identifying a number of records Claimant was required to provide. (Exhibit 1 Pages 9-10.)

5. On February 11, 2014, the Department mailed another VCL requiring Claimant to provide a copy of her Social Security Card. (Exhibit 1 Page 11.) Her response was due by February 21, 2014.
6. On February 14, 2014, the Department mailed another NCA informing Claimant that her FAP was closed effective March 1, 2014 because she did not “cooperate in obtaining a social security number, showing the social security card, or providing the verification for a group member(s).” (Exhibit 1 Pages 12-13.)
7. The Department submitted, as part of the hearing packet, a copy of Claimant’s Social Security Card (Exhibit 1 Page 18) which had been faxed to the Department on February 11, 2014.
8. On February 24, 2014 the Department received a Hearing Request from Claimant. (Exhibit 1 Page 3.)

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.

“Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes completion of necessary forms; see Refusal to Cooperate Penalties in this item. Clients must completely and truthfully answer all questions on forms and in interviews.” BAM 105.

Per BAM 130, at page 6, says:

Verifications are considered to be timely if received by the date they are due. For electronically transmitted verifications (fax, email or Mi Bridges document upload), the date of the transmission is the receipt date. Verifications that are submitted after the close of regular business hours through the drop box or by delivery of a DHS representative are considered to be received the next business day.

Send a negative action notice when:

The client indicates refusal to provide a verification, **or**

The time period given has elapsed and the client has **not** made a reasonable effort to provide it.

The issue is whether the Claimant provided timely verification in response to the request. The evidence is persuasive that the Claimant provided a copy of her Social Security card on the same day that the VCL was mailed requesting a copy of her card.

The undersigned feels compelled to note that the Department submitted information regarding Claimant's wages (Exhibit 1 Pages 22-24) that would indicate her income was far beyond the eligibility limits for FAP. However, page 21 also states that Claimant is "No Longer Employed as of 01/15/2014." It is also noted that the Department issued another NCA on March 3, 2014 (Exhibit 2) approving Claimant for FAP benefits of \$ [REDACTED] per month beginning March 1, 2014.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's application for FAP benefits effective March 1, 2014, but its error was corrected by the March 3, 2014 NCA.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.



**Darryl T. Johnson**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 27, 2014

Date Mailed: March 27, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

DTJ/las

cc:

