

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2014-29672
Issue No.: 1002
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: April 3, 2014
County: Kent

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: C. Adam Purnell

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 3, 2014 from Lansing, Michigan. Claimant personally appeared and provided testimony. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED] (Family Independence Manager) and [REDACTED] (Case Manager).

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case due to failure to properly comply with requests for verification documentation?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was active for FIP.
2. On November 12, 2013, the Department provided Claimant with a Verification of Student Information form (DHS-3380) which requested verification of school attendance for Claimant's [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].
3. The Department, on December 13, 2013, mailed Claimant a Verification Checklist (DHS-1605) which requested verification of school attendance for [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] no later than December 23, 2013.
4. On December 30, 2013, the Department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) which closed Claimant's FIP case effective February 1, 2014. The DHS-1605 also indicated the following, "Verification of children's school enrollment and attendance was not verified-please reapply for cash. School enrollment will need to be verified. Thank you."

5. On February 12, 2014, Claimant requested a hearing to challenge the Department's decision to close her FIP (or cash) case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

For FIP purposes, dependent children are expected to attend school full-time, and graduate from high school or a high school equivalency program, in order to enhance their potential to obtain future employment leading to self-sufficiency. Dependent children ages 6 through 17 must attend school full-time. BEM 245, (7-1-2013) p 1.

A dependent child age 6 through 15 must attend school full-time. If a dependent child age 6 through 15 is not attending school full-time, the entire FIP group is not eligible to receive FIP. A dependent child age 16 or 17 who is not attending high school full-time is disqualified from the FIP group in Bridges. BEM 245, (7-1-2013) p 1.

Clients who are able but refuse to provide necessary information or take a required action are subject to penalties. BAM 105, p 18. Clients must take actions within their ability to obtain verifications. BAM 130 and BEM 702 (1-1-2014). Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements. BAM 130. Verification is usually required upon application or redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. BAM 130.

Verifications are considered timely if received by the date they are due. BAM 130. For FIP, the department must allow a client 10 calendar days (or other time limit specified in policy) to provide the requested verification. BAM 130. Should the client indicate a refusal to provide a verification or, conversely, if the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it, the department may send the client a negative action notice. BAM 130.

The Department worker must tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date. BAM 130. The Department sometimes will utilize a verification checklist (VCL) or a DHS form telling clients what is needed to determine or redetermine eligibility. See Bridges Program Glossary (BPG) at page 47. To verify school enrollment and attendance, the Department uses a DHS-3380, Verification of Student Information. BEM 245, p 9.

Here, the Department simply contends that Claimant's FIP case was properly closed because she failed to provide verifications of her children's school attendance. Claimant, on the other hand, contends that she did provide the requested verifications to the Department. Claimant also states that another Department employee named, [REDACTED], told her that she did not need to provide school attendance verification for her son [REDACTED]. because he is a "ward of the state."

Testimony and other evidence must be weighed and considered according to its reasonableness. *Gardiner v Courtright*, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); *Dep't of Community Health v Risch*, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007). The weight and credibility of this evidence is generally for the fact-finder to determine. *Dep't of Community Health*, 274 Mich App at 372; *People v Terry*, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997). Moreover, it is for the fact-finder to gauge the demeanor and veracity of the witnesses who appear before him, as best he is able. See, e.g., *Caldwell v Fox*, 394 Mich 401, 407; 231 NW2d 46 (1975); *Zeeland Farm Services, Inc v JBL Enterprises, Inc*, 219 Mich App 190, 195; 555 NW2d 733 (1996).

This Administrative Law Judge has carefully considered and weighed the testimony and other evidence in the record. Claimant's arguments do not provide reasonable justification for her failure to provide requested verification of school enrollment. The purported employee named [REDACTED] worked for the Department's financial services division but not for the benefit services section. He could not properly advise Claimant regarding verifications. In addition, the verification documentation specifically instructs Claimant is to contact her caseworker [REDACTED] for questions concerning her verifications. She was not instructed to contact [REDACTED] in this regard.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FIP case due to failure to provide verification of school attendance.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



C. Adam Purnell
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 16, 2014

Date Mailed: April 17, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAP/las

cc:

