STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201429454 2001 March 24, 2014 Wayne (15)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chavez				
HEARING DECISION				
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 24, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case \square calculate Claimant's allotment for:				
☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		` ,		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
Claimant ☐ applied for ☐ received: ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ Seption of the second of the secon	SDA 🗌 CDC	□ DSS □ SSP		

- 2. The Department failed to process or activate MA coverage for the month of January and February, 2011, despite adequate bills submitted to meet the deductible for those months.
- 3. On February 14, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

∑ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

After opening the record, the Department admitted error in the current case when it admitted that claimant had MA coverage for January and February, 2011, and admitted that bills had been submitted in a timely manner that met the deductible for that month. Therefore, no other findings are necessary. While the Department alleged there was an issue with a failure to return verifications, the undersigned does not understand how a verification issue can in any impact MA coverage for months that claimant was covered, and for which adequate bills had been submitted.

In the current case, the Department admitted that coverage had not been activated for the months in question. The Administrative Law Judge therefore holds that the Department must activate MA coverage for January and February, 2011.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department:

☑ did not act in accordance with Department policy when it failed to activate MA coverage for January and February, 2011, after receiving bills that met the case deductible in a timely manner.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, th	e Department's	decision	is
-----------------	----------------	----------	----

REVERSED.

.

- ☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
- Activate MA coverage for January and February, 2011, and submit claimant's medical expenses for those months for payment; if billing exceptions are needed given the time involved, the Department is to provide those billing exceptions forthwith.

Robert J. Chavez
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 10, 2014
Date Mailed: April 10, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

2014-29454/RJC

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

RJC/tn	n	
cc:		