## IN THE MATTER OF:

| Reg. No.: | $2014-27761$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Issue No(s).: | 2008 |
| Case No.: |  |
| Hearing Date: | March 20, 2014 |
| County: | Genesee \#02 |

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL S. NEWELL

## HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250 ; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33 ; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 20, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included $\quad$, hearing representative. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included , Eligibility Specialist.

## ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's MA application?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for MA on November 21, 2013.
2. Claimant has a 401 k with hardship funds available in in excess of $\$$
3. In order to free up these hardship funds, Claimant would need to first take out a loan from her 401k.
4. The loan amount needed to free up hardship funds, at all times relevant, would be in excess of
5. Claimant qualified for the loan. (Exhibit 1).
6. On November 21, 2013, the Department denied Claimant for MA due to excess assets.
7. On January 29, 2014, Claimant requested hearing.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, Claimant applied for MA on November 21, 2013. Claimant has a 401k with hardship funds available in in excess of $\$$ In order to free up these hardship funds, Claimant would need to first take out a loan from her 401k. The loan amount needed to free up hardship funds, at all times relevant, and would be in excess of

Claimant qualified for the loan. (Exhibit 1). On November 21, 2013, the Department denied Claimant for MA due to excess assets. On January 29, 2014, Claimant requested hearing.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied the MA application.

## DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Michael S. Newell } \\
\text { Administrative Law Judge } \\
\text { for Maura Corrigan, Director } \\
\text { Department of Human Services }
\end{array}
$$

Date Signed: April 11, 2014
Date Mailed: April 11, 2014
NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request ( 60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be received in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:
Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request
If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:
Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322
MSN/las
$\mathrm{cc}:$

