#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

2014-27458 2002; 3002 March 13, 2014

Wexford

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

# HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on Thursday, March 13, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and

Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included OCS.

### ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly  $\boxtimes$  close Claimant's case for:



Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

	Adult	Medical	Prog
٦	Ctata	Dischilit	

Adult Medical Program (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- Claimant  $\boxtimes$  received:  $\boxtimes$  FAP and  $\boxtimes$  MA benefits. 1.
- 2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification.
- 3. On January 27, 2014, the Department  $\boxtimes$  closed Claimant's case.

- 4. On January 27, 2014, the Department sent Claimant notice of its action.
- 5. On February 4, 2014, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's action.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, the Claimant was a recipient of FAP and MA. She got on , but had a that was on The Claimant is unable to get a for her because there is no listed for her is the on his . Her because the was while she was still but the Claimant states that he is not the in question. Although the Claimant has provided verification of the as to her attempts to get a for she has not been able to secure one. As a result, the Department could not issue FAP and MA benefits based on policy for the in question. BEM 223.

During the hearing, a call was made to the Office of Child Support (OCS) to assist the Claimant in getting benefits for her with assistance in getting a

. The OCS Department Caseworker took information to get a paternity case started on behalf of the child.

The Department met their burden that the Claimant's FAP and MA case should be closed because the Claimant failed to provide the required for her

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any finds that the Department  $\boxtimes$  acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed the Claimant's FAP and

MA because the Claimant failed to provide the required for her

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is  $\square$  **AFFIRMED**.

Carmon I. Sahie

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/21/14

Date Mailed: 3/21/14

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the Claimant;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the Claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/tb

