STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE	MATI	ΓER	OF:
--------	------	-----	-----

		Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2014-25690 6001 April 28, 2014 Wayne (82-23)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane					
	HEARING DECIS	<u>ION</u>			
Admir 42 Cl notice Partic	ving Claimant's request for a hearing, this nistrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and FR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.0 and telephone hearing was held on Aprilosipants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant of Human Services (Department) included	and 400.37; 7 CF 33; and 45 CFR 28, 2014, from nant. Participan	R 273.15 to 273.18; 205.10. After due Detroit, Michigan.		
	<u>ISSUE</u>				
	ne Department properly deny Claimant's a lculate Claimant's allotment for:	application 🛚 clo	ose Claimant's case		
☐ Fo	ood Assistance Program (FAP)? \(\subseteq \) (edical Assistance (MA)?	State Disability As Child Developmer Direct Support Se State SSI Paymer	nt and Care (CDC)? rvices (DSS)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:					
[Claimant 🛭 applied for 🔲 received: ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ Spenefits.	SDA ⊠ CDC	□ DSS □ SSP		
[The Department ☑ denied Claimant's application ☐ clo Claimant's benefit allotment due to Claima requested		case changed crovide verifications		

☐ AFFIRMED.

☐ REVERSED.

to

AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to

3. On January 10, 2014, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020.

After opening the record, the Department admitted error in the current case, and stated affirmatively that steps were required to correct the action taken with regards to Claimant's benefit case. Therefore, no other findings are necessary.

In the current case, the Department testified that it must return to November 19, 2013, and upon receiving documentation of child care provided from that date, cause the provider to be paid in accordance with Departmental policy in order to correct the action taken with regard to claimant's current benefits case. The Administrative Law Judge therefore holds that the Department must take the action stated.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions

of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

acted in accordance with Department policy when it

did not act in accordance with Department policy when it terminated Claimant's CDC benefits.

failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

and REVERSED IN PART with respect

- ☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
- Accept documentation of child care provided beginning November 19, 2013, and supplement the CDC payments to Claimant's provider in accordance with Departmental policy.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 30, 2014

Date Mailed: April 30, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

2014-25690/MJB

MJB/pf

cc: