## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

Reg. No.:

Issue No.:

201424683

2006

				_
	TUE		ΓTFR	OE.
113	100	IVI		

	Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	April 21, 2014 Saginaw (73)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chav	ez	
HEARING DECIS	SION	
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on April 21, 2014, from Saginaw, Michigan Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ES.		
ISSUE		
Did the Department properly ⊠ deny Claimant's ☐ calculate Claimant's allotment for:	application 🗌 cl	ose Claimant's case
☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐  ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐	-	
FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ACT</u>	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the cevidence on the whole record, finds as material face	• 1	rial, and substantial
Claimant ⊠ applied for □ received: □ FIP □ FAP ⊠ MA □ AMP □ Senefits.      benefits.	SDA CDC	□ DSS □ SSP

2.	On February 14, 2013, the Department  ignormal denied Claimant's application ignormal closed Claimant's case ignormal changed Claimant's benefit allotment due to an MRT denial.	
3.	On February 14, 2013, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.	
4.	The Social Security Administration subsequently issued an approval with regard to claimant's SSI benefits retroactive to January, 2013; DHS failed to submit the case to MRT to make a decision on retroactive benefits.	
5.	On November 27, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.	
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Adm	artment policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges hinistrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual M), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	
Sec 1008 Inde	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social urity Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 3.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family ependence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 105.	
affiri	r opening the record, the Department admitted error in the current case, and stated matively that steps were required to correct the action taken with regards to nant's benefit case. Therefore, no other findings are necessary.	
In the current case, the Department testified that it must resubmit the medical packet to the Medical Review Team for a determination on requested retroactive months in orde to correct the action take with regard to claimant's current benefits case. The Administrative Law Judge therefore holds that the Department must take the action stated.		
	Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions aw, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department	
<ul> <li>□ acted in accordance with Department policy when it</li> <li>□ did not act in accordance with Department policy when it failed to resubmit the medical packet to MRT.</li> <li>□ failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it</li> </ul>		

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

	AFFIRMED.
X	REVERSED

- ☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
- 1. Resubmit the medical packet to the Medical Review Team for a determination on the requested retroactive months.

Robert J. Chavez
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 1, 2014

Date Mailed: May 1, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

RJC/tm

CC: