# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-24272 Issue No(s).: 3000;4000

Case No.: Hearing Date:

County:

March 18, 2014 Macomb #20

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael S. Newell

### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 18, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Exercise Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Exercise Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Exercise Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Exercise Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Exercise Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Exercise Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Exercise Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Exercise Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Exercise Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Exercise Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Exercise Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Exercise Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Exercise Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Exercise Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Exercise Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Exercise Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Exercise Participants on Behalf of Human Services (Department) included Exercise Participants (Department of Human Services (Department of Human Ser

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Does the Administrative Law Judge have jurisdiction concerning Claimant's SDA and FAP hearing requests?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On July 23, 2013, the Department denied Claimant for FAP.
- 2. On September 12, 2013, the Department denied Claimant for SDA. (Exhibit 4)
- 3. On December 11, 2013, the Department denied Claimant for MA based on a finding that Claimant was not disabled by the MRT. (Exhibit 2).
- 4. Claimant requested a hearing regarding all three programs on January 29, 2014.
- 5. On February 25, 2014, a hearing was set before Judge adjourned the hearing February 26, 2014.
- Concerning the MA denial, this issue must be rescheduled if it has not already been scheduled.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180.

Additionally, Regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in Mich Admin Code, R 400.901 through R 400.951. Rule 400.903(1) provides as follows:

An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because [a] claim for assistance is denied or is not acted upon with reasonable promptness, and to any recipient who is aggrieved by a Department action resulting in suspension, reduction, discontinuance, or termination of assistance.

A request for hearing must be in writing and signed by the claimant, petitioner, or authorized representative. Rule 400.904(1). Moreover, the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 600 ( ), p. 5, provides in relevant part as follows:

The client or authorized hearing representative has 90 calendar days from the date of the written notice of case action to request a hearing. The request must be received anywhere in DHS within the 90 days. [Emphasis added.]

In the present case, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action advising Claimant of its decision regarding both FAP and SDA more than 90 days before Claimant's hearing request. Claimant's hearing request was not timely filed within ninety days of the Notice of Case Action and is, therefore, **DISMISSED** for lack of jurisdiction. BAM 600, p. 5.

### IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the SDA and FAP must be **DISMISSED** for lack of jurisdiction.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, Claimant's hearing requests regarding SDA and FAP are **DISMISSED** for lack of jurisdiction.

Michael S. Newell

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Michael &. Newell

Date Signed: March 28, 2014

Date Mailed: March 28, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

# MSN/las

cc: