STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-13226 Issue No(s).: 2001; 2007

Case No.: Hearing Date:

County:

March 5, 2014 Macomb #20

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael S. Newell

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 5, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's TMA?

Did the Department properly calculate Claimant's MA deductible?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On October 28, 2013, Claimant submitted her Medical Redetermination paperwork.
- 2. On November 7, 2013, the Department issued a Notice of Case Action was issued indicating that Medicaid was denied for (Exhibit 1).
- However, because Claimant adopted Robyn and Caitlin were adopted, the Department had them on another case; thus, they were denied on the instant case.
- 4. and and received full Medicaid without a deductible on the other case. (See exhibit 3).
- 5. All other children in the group received full Medicaid without any deductible.

- 6. The Notice cancelled Claimant's TMA effective January 1, 2014 and placed her on a deductible of \$ per month.
- 7. Claimant's TMA was cancelled because she had for 12 months from January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013.
- 8. The Department presented a budget for the hearing, but the worker did not know the why the budget had an amount for "Adults Prorated Income" (See exhibit 2).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, BEM 111 provides a 12-month limit to TMA benefits, and no exception applies to this case. Thus, the Department did not err in closing Claimant's TMA Medicaid. An issue remains concerning Claimant's deductible because the worker could not explain the MA budget and answered that she did not know when asked about the budget.

Concerning MA benefits for the children in the group, all members have Medicaid with no deductible, and there has been no break in benefits. Thus, there is nothing to review in this regard. Claimant testified that she had unpaid medical bills, Claimant may wish to forward these to the Department.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it calculated Claimant's MA deductible.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED IN PART** with respect to the termination of Claimant's TMA and **REVERSED IN PART** with respect to Claimant's MA deductible.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Redetermine Claimant's MA deductible.

Michael S. Newell

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Michael S. Newell

Date Signed: March 28, 2014

Date Mailed: March 28, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MSN/las

cc: